





**Portrait of Lijsbeth Craeyvanger
(1653–?)**

Caspar Netscher
(Prague or Heidelberg ca. 1639 – 1684 The
Hague)

ca. 1658
oil on canvas
56.5 x 41 cm
CN-110.c

How to cite

Van Suchtelen, Ariane. "Craeyvanger Children" (2017). In *The Leiden Collection Catalogue*, 2nd ed. Edited by Arthur K. Wheelock Jr. New York, 2017–20. <https://theleidencollection.com/artwork/portrait-of-lijsbeth-craeyvanger-1653/> (archived May 2020).

A PDF of every version of this entry is available in this Online Catalogue's Archive, and the Archive is managed by a permanent URL. New versions are added only when a substantive change to the narrative occurs.

This entry is about the children of the Craeyvanger family. Click [here](#) to read about their parents, Willem Craeyvanger (1615/1616–after 1666) and Christine van der Wart (1620–1666).

The portraits of the Craeyvanger family of Arnhem were practically unknown when they appeared at a sale in Amsterdam in May 2009.^[1] The 10 portraits caused an immediate stir, not only because it is unusual for such a group to survive, but also because of their exceptionally high quality, particularly the likenesses of the four oldest children by Gerard ter Borch (1617–1681). The series of eight children's portraits were offered for sale in two separate lots, the four oldest children as autograph works by Ter Borch, the four youngest as by "Gerard ter Borch and studio."^[2] The portraits of the four oldest children betray the hand of a more experienced master, evident in the more subtle execution of the faces, hands, and clothing, and the more convincing spatial rendering of the figures.

After the sale, the portraits of the four youngest children were attributed specifically to Ter Borch's pupil Caspar Netscher (ca. 1639–1684), who had also painted the portrait of their mother, Christine van der Wart, in ca. 1655–56 (see CN-110.e). Netscher's barely visible signature, which was applied in light beige paint, was found not only on the portrait of their mother, but also on the portraits of the three youngest children.^[3] The portrait of Gerrit, the youngest son, is the only one of the children's portraits that bears a date, 1658. No inscription is visible on the portrait of Peter, the second youngest son, but there are remnants of a number or letter, applied in the same light-colored paint as are Netscher's other inscriptions.^[4] This portrait, too, can be assigned to Netscher on the basis of its execution, which is very close to that of the other Netschers. Annotations with the names of the children portrayed and the date 1658—possibly applied in the eighteenth century—are still legible on the back of the eight unlined paintings. There is no other known example in seventeenth-century Dutch painting of such an extensive series of portraits of the members of one family, in which the individual portraits of the children (here, eight) form an entity.^[5]

The children's portraits were painted in the elegant, small-figure style of portraiture that was Ter Borch's trademark. They were conceived as a group of pendants, with each pair of sitters inclined toward each other; in the left-hand portraits the light falls from the upper left, and in the right-hand portraits from the upper right. The unity of style that characterizes these portraits makes clear that they originated in close collaboration between Ter Borch

Comparative Figures



Fig 1. Gerard ter Borch, *Portrait of Charles de Liedekercke, His Wife, Willemina van Braeckel, and Their Son Samuel* (1638–d. before 1655), ca. 1654/55, oil on panel, 45 x 39 cm, Frans Hals Museum, Haarlem, inv. no. OS1-28



Fig 2. Attributed to Caspar Netscher, *Portrait of Wilhelmina Everwijn* (1649–1737), ca. 1658, oil on copper, 28 x 23 cm, present whereabouts unknown (art dealer A. Brod, London 1958)



and Netscher, who are known to have shared painting materials.

The children are portrayed three-quarter length, standing in front of a grayish background on which they cast shadows. The dark oval borders around the portraits, which were painted rapidly with broad brushstrokes, function like trompe l'oeil frames that seem to cast a narrow band of shadow along the edges of the ovals.^[6] These borders were not originally covered by the oval inlays of the lost frames, as evidenced by the lack of minimal damage to the paint surface that one would then expect to find in these areas, and by the fact that the craquelure covers the entire surface of the rectangular canvases evenly.^[7]

The two oldest boys—Jan and Willem, 17 and 15 years old, respectively—are nearly grown up.^[8] Both of these self-assured youths are shown resting a hand on a hip below a light gray cape and demonstratively holding a hat in the other hand. Their somewhat elongated faces are delicately characterized. These unsigned portraits display the refined, unerring style of painting and soft brushwork of Ter Borch, who—unlike Netscher—did not usually sign his work. The meticulous rendering of the boys' fashionable clothing was a specialism of Ter Borch and one that was highly valued by his clientele.^[9] The gray doublets are unbuttoned at the bottom, allowing their white shirts to blouse out and exposing their *tablier de galants*, an apronlike skirt of ribbon loops. Like the four younger brothers, they wear flat collars, tied with tasseled band-strings. The use of subtle highlights—on their fingernails, for example—is also characteristic of Ter Borch. Such details lend his figures an almost palpable realism.

The portraits of Jan and Willem Craeyvanger are closely related to the likeness of the Haarlem youth Samuel de Liedekercke, whom Ter Borch portrayed around 1654/1655 at approximately the age of 16, together with his parents (**fig 1**).^[10] As far as attire, pose, and characterization are concerned, Jan and Willem are depicted in clothing almost identical to that of Samuel. They are also depicted three-quarter-length, with each holding a hat in one hand. The next two Craeyvanger brothers, whose portraits are executed in the same unerring technique and with similar refinement, can also be attributed to Ter Borch. Reijnder and Engel, 13 and 11 years old, wear the same clothes as their elder brothers, but made of darker fabric. They each hold a pair of leather gloves (Engel's have a red lining), which Ter Borch suggested with masterly efficiency with only a few long brushstrokes.

Netscher completed the series by painting the portraits of the younger



Fig 3. Attributed to Caspar Netscher, *Portrait of Suzanna Everwijn (1654–1733)*, ca. 1658, oil on copper, 28 x 22.5 cm, present whereabouts unknown (D. J. G. Durman Collection, Arnhem, 1971)




Fig 4. Gerard ter Borch, *Portrait of Willem Everwijn (1613–1673)*, 1653, oil on panel, 28.2 x 22 cm, Brantsen van de Zyp Stichting, Arnhem



children—Peter, Gerrit, Lijsbeth, and Naleke—at the ages of eight, seven, five, and three, respectively. Each child stands next to a table covered with a cloth. Although Netscher sought to connect these portraits to those of the older children by faithfully following the style of his teacher, his execution is slightly less subtle and the interaction between the pairs less convincing. Peter rests his hand on his hat, which lies on the table. Gerrit, the youngest of the brothers and still wearing a skirt, points to a birdcage. The girls' portraits feature flowers—Lijsbeth's are on the table, Naleke's in her apron—perhaps as symbols of youth and growth. They both wear remarkably large pearl pendants, which seem very heavy indeed for girls of their tender age. Although their faces are well captured, the four children painted by Netscher look somehow doll-like, as though standing less firmly on their feet. The four oldest boys, who are depicted without tables, form stronger, more coherent pairs owing to their complementary poses, gestures, and attributes; by contrast, the four youngest children stand rather stiffly and have little contact with one another. The difficulty Netscher had in depicting the hands, which display almost no detail, and the foreshortening of the arms also suggests a lack of experience. Compared with Ter Borch, Netscher made greater use of dark contours in the rendering of the clothing, as evidenced most clearly by the portrait of Peter.

Characteristic of the entire series of children's portraits is the swift and streamlined efficiency with which they were executed in a similar technique. The paint was applied thinly with rapid brushstrokes over a cursory underpainting, letting the underlayer shimmer through in places or leaving it completely open. The flesh color of the faces and hands was applied to a gray underlayer, which serves as the basic tone found, for instance, in the shaded passages around the eyes. The general lack of fine, finishing layers of glaze also indicates a direct and rapid working method. The series of paintings was completed with apparent speed by both masters in a well-oiled collaboration. Among the striking details are the lace tassels on the collars of the youngest boys, Peter and Gerrit, which are painted exactly like those of their elder brothers: the pastose white paint was "pounded" onto the canvas with perpendicular movements of the brush, thus creating a nearly relieflike structure in those places. Such a detail reveals the extent to which Netscher observed Ter Borch at work, imitating his teacher's painting technique as much as he could, while sharing his studio.

Unity of style and technique was naturally an important requirement. Ter Borch and Netscher no doubt carried out this commission for the Craeyvanger family side by side, sharing their materials. This is apparent

Fig 5. Gerard ter Borch, *Portrait of Johanna Kelffken* (ca. 1619–1701), 1653, signed at lower left: "GtB 1653", oil on panel, 28.2 x 22 cm, Brantsen van de Zyp Stichting, Arnhem



from the fact that the children's portraits were painted on canvases cut from one large piece of primed linen: three paintings next to one another over the entire width of the roll.^[11] The remarkable fact that all eight of these unlined paintings have retained their original dimensions and that their tacking edges have been preserved has enabled a precise reconstruction of the original position of each painting in the large piece of primed linen.^[12] For this reconstruction, an examination was carried out of the tacking edges (sometimes including the selvedge), of the irregularities in the weave of the canvases, and of the presence in places of cusping (deformations caused by the stretching of the canvas at fixed positions). In addition, manual thread counts were carried out on the backs of the paintings.^[13] The original piece of linen was, in fact, not quite wide enough for all eight paintings, so an extra strip (of finer linen) measuring about 20 cm wide had to be sewn on to it.^[14] This added piece is evident in the vertical seams in the portraits of Lijsbeth and Naleke. The reluctance to use a new piece of canvas for the girls' portraits seems rather stingy and suggests that there was pressure to finish the commission on time.

The fact that all eight supports were prepared from the same piece of primed linen is further confirmation that Ter Borch and Netscher were working in the same studio. It is likely that Netscher had not yet set up as an independent painter before leaving for France in 1659. That Netscher was allowed to sign his work in these years, even though he was still working under his teacher's supervision, was possibly due to the lack of a painter's guild in Deventer. As a result, rules relating to the signing of work by painters' pupils were presumably less stringent than elsewhere.

It is difficult to say whether all the Craeyvanger children traveled from Arnhem to Deventer to pose for Ter Borch and Netscher. Both painters were no doubt used to painting portraits "from life"; the details of the clothing and hands, for example, could be worked out without the model present. Nevertheless, it is also possible that in painting these portraits they relied on sketches (which have not survived); Ter Borch frequently used drawn studies and sketches to compose his paintings, as emerges from the drawings preserved in the Ter Borch family's extensive studio estate, which has largely remained intact.^[15] He undoubtedly taught his pupils drawing skills too.^[16]

The discovery of the series of portraits of the Craeyvanger family has added no fewer than five paintings to the early oeuvre of Caspar Netscher.^[17] Some 20 works by his hand are now known before he departed for France in 1659:

not only portraits but also genre pieces after compositions by Ter Borch.^[18] It is likely that in the same year in which Netscher portrayed the youngest Craeyvanger children he also painted the portraits of the young girls Wilhelmina and Suzanna Everwijn, who also lived in Arnhem (**fig 2**) (**fig 3**).^[19] These portraits joined the likenesses that Ter Borch had painted in 1653 of their parents, Willem Craeyvanger's cousin Willem Everwijn and his wife, Johanna Kelffken (**fig 4**) and (**fig 5**).^[20] The ensemble of portraits of the Everwijn family was later dispersed. The fact that Netscher painted portraits of the Everwijn children to go with the parents' portraits, completed several years earlier by Ter Borch, suggests that Ter Borch commonly called on his most talented pupil to assist him in carrying out portrait commissions. Finally, three small signed portraits, which Netscher painted in 1656—also presumably for Arnhem patrons—likewise give an impression of the extent to which he had succeeded in these years in mastering his teacher's style.^[21]

The rapid completion in 1658 of the portraits of the Craeyvanger children put their parents in the possession of an exceptional ensemble of paintings, which no doubt impressed visitors to their house on Arnhem's Grote Oord. The overall effect of the series must have been enhanced by the original frames surrounding the portraits. If these were carved gilt frames, as was often the case with Ter Borch's portraits, they would certainly have contributed to the sumptuous impact of the whole.^[22]

Archival documents provide information about the lives of the sons and daughters of Willem Craeyvanger, particularly about the second son, also called Willem, who inherited the family portraits.^[23] All the sons attended the Latin school in Arnhem, although probably not all of them completed their studies. The eldest son, Jan, presumably died not long after 1668, when he is recorded for the last time in connection with the winding up of his father's bankruptcy proceedings. Willem must have finished his studies at the Latin school, because in 1661 he enrolled as a student of medicine at the University of Leiden—the only one of the boys to attend university. He left Leiden after only two months, however, and continued his studies in Duisburg. The following year he went to the Academy in Nijmegen, where he gained his doctorate in law in April 1663.^[24] In 1670 in The Hague, Willem married Maria van Ommen, with whom he had seven children. In 1679, and later again between 1705 and 1712, Willem is recorded as a clerk of Gelderland at the States General in The Hague.^[25]

The legal proceedings between lawyers and creditors, instigated in 1666 because of the bankruptcy of Craeyvanger père, reveals that Reijnder had



worked for several years as his father's bookkeeper. A cloth merchant, Reijnder had evidently followed in his father's footsteps, as emerges from the small payments he received until 1669 from the parish for deliveries of cloth. He was last recorded in 1675, as far as is known. The fourth son, Engel, served as a standard-bearer in Nijmegen in 1671. In the same year he married Justina van Oorschot in Loosduinen, after which he left for Cheribon on Java. Peter and Gerrit both died as young men. Gerrit was killed in action in 1674, when Stadholder Willem III recaptured the fortified town of Grave from the French. Lijsbeth married Arnold d'Everdinge van der Nijpoort, a lawyer from Utrecht, in Arnhem in August 1673. Naleke died at the age of 21; an old label on the back of her portrait says that she had been "put in a convent," which is surprising for the descendant of a Protestant family.^[26] It is possible that after the death of her mother, Naleke, then only 11 years old, was taken into the home of a Catholic aunt and uncle. One branch of the Craeyvanger family—the descendants of Engel, Willem's uncle—had probably remained Catholic.

If their extraordinary portraits had not survived the ravages of time, no one would have been interested in the rather unremarkable lives of these people who lived so long ago. Fortunately, the present owner has prevented the break-up—even now, 350 years after they were portrayed—of this family from Arnhem.

- Ariane van Suchtelen, 2017

Endnotes

1. The portraits of the Craeyvanger children are not lined. The portraits of the boys were never lined, but the portraits of the girls were lined at some point, though their linings were removed during the restoration carried out by Patrick Corbett at the request of Johnny van Haeften, who acquired the pictures at the sale of 6 May 2009. In 1939 the portraits were registered by Dr. Hans Schneider for the Iconographic Bureau, The Hague (IB nos. 39677 [Jan], 39678 [Willem], 39675 [Reijnder], 39676 [Engel], 39680 [Peter], 39674 [Gerrit], 39679 [Lijsbeth], and 39681 [Naleke]). Schneider incorrectly interpreted the poorly legible inscription “C. Netscher / 1658” on the *Portrait of Gerrit Craeyvanger* as the monogram of Gerard ter Borch; he also attributed the portraits of the other children to Ter Borch. Sturla J. Gudlaugsson, *Geraert ter Borch*, 2 vols. (The Hague, 1959–60), 2: 113 (as children’s portraits by a pupil of Ter Borch, possibly Pieter van Anraadt), 2: 289 (under “Pieter van Anraadt,” as five portraits of children of the Craeyvanger family in the style of Ter Borch, possibly by Gesina ter Borch, one of which was allegedly monogrammed “GTB”; this last assertion is based on Schneider’s notes).
2. See sale catalogue Christie’s, Amsterdam, 6 May 2009, nos. 78 and 80.
3. Netscher’s barely legible inscriptions were discovered during the restoration carried out by Patrick Corbett at the request of Johnny van Haeften, who acquired the pictures at the sale of 6 May 2009.
4. With thanks to Petria Noble, Mauritshuis, The Hague.
5. A precedent had been created by the (no longer extant) portraits commissioned in 1615 by Willem’s uncle and aunt, Reinier Everwijn and Naleke Craeyvanger, of themselves and their three children (Everard, Reinier, and Lucretia). These portraits are recorded (without attribution) in an inventory drawn up in 1803 by Johan Brantsen (1768–1826) of the Brantsen collection, comprising some 120 portraits of, among others, the Everwijn, Kelffken, Brantsen, and Tulleken families (Gelders Archief, Archief Brantsen, access 0452, inv. 9, *Lijst van Familie Pourtraiten*, 1803, nos. 46–50. Nos. 55 and 56 on the list are copies painted on copper of the portraits of Reinier Everwijn and Naleke Craeyvanger).
6. These borders are much less visible since the restoration carried out by Patrick Corbett at the request of Johnny van Haeften, who acquired the pictures at the sale of 6 May 2009. They can be seen more clearly in the photographs taken before the restoration (see sale catalogue Christie’s, Amsterdam, 6 May 2009, nos. 78 and 80).
7. Ter Borch frequently painted portraits on oval supports of copper or wood, portraying his sitters bust-length or three-quarter-length. There are only two oval portraits on canvas (Gemäldegalerie, Berlin; see Sturla J. Gudlaugsson, *Geraert ter Borch*, 2 vols. [The Hague,

1959–60], nos. 203, 204; it can no longer be ascertained if these two portraits were originally rectangular or oval in format [with thanks to Beatrix Graf, Berlin]). At least four portraits by Ter Borch on rectangular copper supports are painted within oval borders (Sturla J. Gudlaugsson, *Geraert ter Borch*, 2 vols. [The Hague, 1959–60], nos. 5, 38, 150, and 151); the light color of the borders suggests that they should be covered by inlays of the frames.

8. In 1658 the boys could also have been 16 and 14. For the sake of simplicity, this text sticks to one set of ages. The Craeyvangers' nine children (a daughter named Lijsbeth, baptized on 7 November 1647, must have died before the portraits were made) were baptized in the Grote Kerk of Arnhem (Gelders Archief, Registers of Baptism, Marriages and Deaths: RBS numbers): Jan was baptized on 7 September 1641 (RBS 105, fol. 108); Willem on 31 October 1643 (RBS 105, fol. 208); Reijnder on 24 July 1645 (RBS 105, fol. 282); Lijsbeth on 7 November 1647 (RBS 106, fol. 106); Engel on 16 May 1649 (RBS 106, fol. 176); Peter on 31 July 1650 (RBS 106, fol. 221); Gerrit on 4 December 1651 (RBS 106, fol. 273); Lijsbeth on 20 January 1653 (RBS 107, fol. 3); and Naleke on 4 April 1655 (RBS 107, fol. 71).
9. See Arthur K. Wheelock Jr., ed. *Gerard ter Borch* (Exh. cat. Washington, D.C., National Gallery of Art; Detroit, The Detroit Institute of Arts; Amsterdam, Rijksmuseum) (Zwolle, 2004), *passim*.
10. See Sturla J. Gudlaugsson, *Geraert ter Borch*, 2 vols. (The Hague, 1959–60), no. 101; Pieter Biesboer and Neeltje Köhler, eds., *Painting in Haarlem 1500–1850: The Collection of the Frans Hals Museum* (Ghent/Haarlem 2006), 402–403, no. 50.
11. See Petria Noble, “From One Piece of Canvas: The Supports of the Eight Craeyvanger Children’s Portraits,” *Oud Holland* 127 (2014): 25–30, which is the source of the technical details given.
12. Thus the tacking edges of the portraits that were cut from the middle of the roll still display the gray-brown ground layer, whereas the tacking edges that correspond to the outer edges of the large piece of linen are unprimed.
13. The primary cusping, which extends some 12–15 cm into the paintings, was caused by stretching the large piece of linen to apply the ground; the secondary, shallow cusping was caused by mounting the portraits on their individual strainers. This reconstruction could be confirmed by thread counts and by tracing the irregularities in the linen.
14. This extra strip increased the width of the canvas to approximately 140 cm (2 ells).
15. Alison McNeil Kettering, *Drawings from the Ter Borch Studio Estate*, 2 vols. (The Hague, 1989). For several drawn portrait studies from the late 1640s, which were possibly made preparatory to painted portraits, see Alison McNeil Kettering, *Drawings from the Ter Borch Studio Estate*, 2 vols. (The Hague, 1989), 1: nos. GJr72–77.
16. On Netscher as a draughtsman, see Marjorie E. Wieseman, “Paper Trails: Drawings in the Work of Caspar Netscher and His Studio,” *Collected Opinions* (2004): 248–61. No drawings



by Netscher were found in Ter Borch's studio estate (cf. Alison McNeil Kettering, *Drawings from the Ter Borch Studio Estate*, 2 vols. (The Hague, 1989).

17. See Marjorie E. Wieseman, "The Craeyvanger Portraits in Context—Shedding Light on Caspar Netscher's Early Career," *Oud Holland* 127 (2014): 31–47.
18. Marjorie E. Wieseman, *Caspar Netscher and Late Seventeenth-Century Dutch Painting* (Doornspijk, 2002), nos. 1–9, 11, B1–B4 (see also Marjorie E. Wieseman, "The Craeyvanger Portraits in Context—Shedding Light on Caspar Netscher's Early Career," *Oud Holland* 127 [2014]: 31–47.
19. Inventory drawn up in 1803 by Johan Brantsen (1768–1826) of the Brantsen collection (Gelders Archief, Archief Brantsen, access 0452, inv. 9, *Lijst van Familie Pourtraiten*, 1803), nos. 61 and 62. The *Portrait of Wilhelmina Everwijn* was to be found in 1958/1959 with the art dealer A. Brod, London (see *Connoisseur* 143 [February 1959]); the *Portrait of Suzanna Everwijn* was in the D. J. G. Duurman Collection, Arnhem, in 1971 (Marjorie E. Wieseman, *Caspar Netscher and Late Seventeenth-Century Dutch Painting* [Doornspijk, 2002], no. C 136). It cannot be determined from the reproductions if these were copies or original paintings by Netscher. The dating is based on, among other things, the inscription "Aet su [...] 4" on the portrait of Suzanna Everwijn, who was born in 1654.
20. Sturla J. Gudlaugsson, *Geraert ter Borch*, 2 vols. (The Hague, 1959–60), nos. 103 and 104; inventory drawn up in 1803 by Johan Brantsen (1768–1826) of the Brantsen Collection (Gelders Archief, Archief Brantsen, access 0452, inv. 9, *Lijst van Familie Pourtraiten*, 1803), nos. 59 and 60. These portraits are now the property of the Brantsen van de Zyp Stichting, Arnhem.
21. See Marjorie E. Wieseman, "The Craeyvanger Portraits in Context—Shedding Light on Caspar Netscher's Early Career," *Oud Holland* 127 (2014): 34–36, nos. 3–5.
22. Cf. Pieter J. J. van Thiel and C. J. de Bruyn Kops, *Prijst de lijst: De Hollandse schilderijlijst in de zeventiende eeuw* (Amsterdam and The Hague, 1984), no. 70; Alison McNeil Kettering, "Gerard ter Borch's Portraits for the Deventer Elite," *Simiolus* 27, nos. 1–2 (1999): 63–64.
23. The archival information on the Craeyvanger children that is given below was taken from Menno Potjer, "Het geheim van Wilhelm Craeyvanger: De loopbaan van een Arnhemse regent in de zeventiende eeuw," *Arnhems Historisch Tijdschrift* 2 (2012): 137–60, and Ariane van Suchtelen and Menno Potjer, "De Arnhemse familie Craeyvanger: Een bijzondere groep portretten door Paulus Lesire, Gerard ter Borch en Caspar Netscher," *Oud Holland* 127 (2014): 7–24. For the history of the Craeyvanger family, see Ariane van Suchtelen and Menno Potjer, "De Arnhemse familie Craeyvanger: Een bijzondere groep portretten door Paulus Lesire, Gerard ter Borch en Caspar Netscher," *Oud Holland* 127 (2014): 7–24. The most important sources of the information presented in this entry are to be found in the Gelders Archief, namely the Doop-, Trouw- en Overlijdensregisters (Registers of Baptism, Marriages, and Deaths), the registers of members of the Reformed Church, the documents of the



Arnhem church council and of the provincial synod of the Dutch Reformed Church in Gelderland (Guelders), the *raadsignaten* (formal records of the decisions taken by the local council), the records of decisions taken by the magistrates and their summaries in the protocols of conveyances and mortgages, the court records (Low Court and High Court), the accounts of the town treasurers, the ledger of the decisions taken by the deacons and accounts of the parish, idem of the Brotherhood of St. Nicholas, idem of the guilds, idem of the Orphanage, the armorials of the St. Joost civic guard and the Brotherhood of St. Luke, all in Arnhem, and the records of sentences issued by the courts of private law (contract law) and civil law (conflicts between private persons) of the Overbetuwe (Gelders Archief). On Arnhem in the seventeenth century, see, among others, Menno Potjer and R. R. A. van Gruting, *De Velperweg in kaart gebracht, 1600–1795: Eigenaren en eigenaardigheden* (Utrecht, 2008), and Jeroen Benders and F. Keverling Buisman, *Arnhem tot 1700* (Utrecht, 2008).

24. Menno Potjer, “Het geheim van Wilhelm Craeyvanger: De loopbaan van een Arnhemse regent in de zeventiende eeuw,” *Arnhems Historisch Tijdschrift* 2 (2012): 158 n. 28.
25. Menno Potjer, “Het geheim van Wilhelm Craeyvanger: De loopbaan van een Arnhemse regent in de zeventiende eeuw,” *Arnhems Historisch Tijdschrift* 2 (2012): 146, 158 nn. 29 and 30.
26. Also recorded in Johan Brantsen’s genealogical notes dated 1803 (Gelders Archief, Archief Brantsen, inv. 8), adopted by Jacobus Anspach, *De Veluwsche familie Tulleken en hare aanverwanten: Een genealogische-historische proeve* (The Hague, 1881), 110–13.

Provenance

- Willem Craeyvanger (1615/16–after 1666) and Christine van der Wart (1620–66), Arnhem; by descent to their second son, Willem Craeyvanger (1643–after 1712) and Maria van Ommen; by descent to their second son, Gijsbert Craeyvanger (born ca. 1700), and Geertruida Margaretha Bongers (born ca. 1705); by descent to their second son, Gijsbert Craeyvanger (born ca. 1730), and Geertruida Klingen (1737–1817), Utrecht; by descent to their third son, Willem Craeyvanger (born ca. 1762), and Sophia Florentina Hovij (1751–1830); by descent to their second son, Lodewijk Craeyvanger (1788–1859), and Maria Alexandrina Kennedy (1793–1871), Utrecht; by descent to their son Willem Craeyvanger (1820–73) and Jacoba Theodora Steijn Parvé (born 1827), Deventer; by descent to their eldest daughter, Louise Maria Craeyvanger (1854–1924), Naumburg a/d Saale; by descent to her sister Jacoba Theodora Craeyvanger (1856–1938), Naumburg a/d Saale; by descent to Maria Louise van Limburg Stirum-Luden (1886–1962), and Samuel John van Limburg Stirum (1879–1963), Olst; by descent to the family members (sale, Christie’s, Amsterdam, 6 May 2009, no. 78, as

by Gerard ter Borch II; no. 79, as by Paulus Lesire; and no. 80, as by Gerard ter Borch II and studio [Johnny van Haeften, Ltd., London, 2009]).

- From whom acquired by the present owner in 2009.

Exhibition History

- Oxford, Ashmolean Museum, December 2009–January 2010, on loan with the permanent collection [lent by the present owner].
- The Hague, Mauritshuis, 10 February 2010–16 January 2011, on loan with the permanent collection [lent by the present owner].
- Nijmegen, Museum het Valkhof, March–December 2011, on loan with the permanent collection [lent by the present owner].
- Istanbul, Sak?p Sabanc? Museum, “Rembrandt and His Contemporaries: The Golden Age of Dutch Art,” 21 February–10 June 2012, nos. 9–18 [lent by the present owner].
- Aachen, Suermondt-Ludwig-Museum, October 2012–February 2013 [lent by the present owner].
- Maastricht, Bonnefantenmuseum, February–August 2013 [lent by the present owner].
- Zwolle, Museum de Fundatie, on loan with the permanent exhibition, September 2013– [lent by the present owner].

References

- Gudlaugsson, Sturla J. *Geraert ter Borch*. 2 vols. The Hague, 1959–60, 2:113 (under no. 103), 146 (under no. 135), 289 (under Pieter van Anraadt).
- Van Suchtelen, Ariane. “De hele familie Craeyvanger / The Craeyvanger Family All Together.” *Mauritshuis in Focus* 23 (2010): 22–28.
- Wuestman, Gerdien, ed. *Rembrandt and His Contemporaries: The Golden Age of Dutch Art*. Exh. cat. Istanbul, Sak?p Sabanc? Museum. Istanbul, 2012, 48–51, nos. 9–18.
- Van Suchtelen, Ariane, and Menno Potjer. “De Arnhemse familie Craeyvanger: een bijzondere groep portretten door Paulus Lesire, Gerard ter Borch en Caspar Netscher.” *Oud Holland* 127, no. 1 (2014): 7–24.
- Noble, Petria. “From One Piece of Canvas: the supports of the eight Craeyvanger children’s portraits.” *Oud Holland* 127, no. 1 (2014): 25–30.
- Wieseman, Marjorie E. “The Craeyvanger Portraits in Context: shedding light on Caspar



Netscher's early career." *Oud Holland* 127, no. 1 (2014): 31–47.

Technical Summary

The supports of all eight portraits were cut from a composite fabric comprising a large piece of primed, plain-weave linen, presumably flax, with a narrow strip of fine linen added with a simple overcast stitch selvedge to selvedge.

All eight portraits retain their original formats and original tacking margins and are unlined. Comparison of thread density, weave structure, and cusping allows us to reconstruct how the individual paintings were positioned on the canvas support in relation to one another and explains why cusping is seen along some edges and ground is present on some tacking edges and not others. The seam runs through the portraits of Naleke and Lijsbeth and a radio-opaque layer, possibly lead white, was applied along the seam.

An identical opaque brownish-gray oil ground has been applied to all eight portraits with a broad brush and remains exposed along the painting margins, on many of the tacking edges, and in places where the paint has been loosely applied. It has not been determined whether this is a double ground, since no paint cross-sections were made.

The paint has been freely applied in a palette characterized by greenish-brown, grays, and ocher tones with bright white accents. The oval surrounds were painted first and the figures were then sketched, probably with the same fluid brown-black paint used for the ovals. The figures were then worked up with underpaint, much lighter and cooler in tone than the rather dark brown-gray ground, followed by thinner layers of paint. The background was vigorously brushed in around the figures, after which the contours of the ovals were adjusted and finishing touches applied.

Minor adjustments were made late in the paint stage, such as in the portrait of Lijsbeth where her thumb was painted on top of her apron, or as in the portraits of Engel and Peter, where the left contours of their costumes were reduced by the application of extra background paint.

The portraits of the three youngest children—Gerrit, Lijsbeth, and Naleke—bear authentic signatures of Netscher, testifying to his participation in their production. The finely painted signatures, applied in a faint, light beige paint, can be made out only with difficulty. The signature is best preserved in the portrait of Gerrit, which also bears the date of 1658. On the portrait of Lijsbeth, "C...etscher f" can be discerned in the center right background, and a C along with scant remains of the other letters, at the center left in the portrait of Naleke. It is more than likely that these portraits, like the portrait of Gerrit, once bore the date as well. In the portrait of Peter, either a letter or a digit—possibly a G or 6—is discernable at center right in a light beige paint.

With regard to the portraits of the four oldest children—Jan, Willem, Reijnder, and Engel—which are considered to be by Gerard ter Borch, no signature or date could be discerned under

magnification or with infrared or false color infrared photography.

In general, the portraits of Jan, Willem, Reijnder, Engel, and possibly also Peter are painted using a typical seventeenth-century layering process. The use of a gray underpaint, a technical feature commonly employed by many artists, including Ter Borch and Netscher, is evident in the faces and figures of these portraits. The portraits of the four older children are painted with a deft touch and convincing attention to the details of their physiognomy and costumes. In contrast, the figures of Gerrit and the two young girls appear rather awkward, with little or no attempt to differentiate the texture and materials of their costumes.

On the portraits of Lijsbeth (CN-110c) and Naleke (CN-110d) the wax-resin linings were removed in London shortly after acquisition. The remaining six portraits of the Craeyvanger children have not undergone conservation treatment since acquisition. All eight portraits remain in a good state of preservation.^[1]

Technical Summary Endnotes

1. Entry based on 2012 technical examination report by Petria Noble, head of conservation, Mauritshuis, The Hague, and Oleg Karuvits during his internship as part of the Conservation and Restoration program at the University of Amsterdam, 2009–10.
- 2.