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Frans van Mieris’s fame derives from his interior genre scenes depicting the daily affairs of wealthy Dutch citizens.\[1\] Many of these paintings consist of two people interacting with each other in some idle fashion, but, as in this stunning painting, he also portrayed single figures relaxing within their domestic spheres. Here, within this darkened room,\[2\] a richly dressed young woman with a fashionable hairstyle has just interrupted her needlework—a needle cushion is on her lap and a thimble is on one of her fingers—to feed the tame gray parrot sitting on one of the perches of a fine wooden stand.

Parrots were kept as pets in the seventeenth century, and Van Mieris depicted them on other occasions in his genre scenes.\[3\] He may have painted this exotic bird, a *Psittacus eritacus*, for no other reason than to emphasize the luxurious surroundings.\[4\] Notably, though, in images of the Virgin Mary and in marriage portraits, parrots often alluded to virtuousness or marital chastity. The parrot has also been interpreted as a symbol of eloquence and eagerness to learn, because the brightly colored bird can be tamed and taught to mimic speech.\[5\] This, in any case, is the creature’s apparent role in a print in Jacob Cats’s *Houwelyck* (Marriage) from 1625, in which a woman represents “Leer-sucht” (eagerness to learn) with an embroidery frame and a squawking parrot on her hand (fig 1).\[6\]

The needlework of the woman in Van Mieris’s painting, which can be construed as an allusion to industry and virtue, similarly underscores the parrot’s symbolic meaning. The bird probably denotes the good upbringing of the woman, who bears a striking resemblance to the painter’s wife, Cunera van der Cock (see FM-107).\[7\] A similar interpretation may be applied to other works that include this motif, such as the painting by Gabriel Metsu discussed below, in which a woman is shown still working on her needlework with the parrot perched on top of a cage.

This well-preserved masterpiece shows the artist’s marvelous technique to great advantage, for in this smoothly executed and precise image Van Mieris achieved a perfect rendering of textures. One is almost inclined to touch the painting and feel the fabric of the woman’s expensive clothes made of shimmering satin, velvet and fur. The sheen of light on the red velvet is handled as sharp white lines and veils of white. Van Mieris’s small scenes were not intended to be viewed from a distance, but even up close, the paint of the woman’s face is so finely blended that the artist’s brushstrokes remain invisible to the eye. In order to produce such a refined
painting, Van Mieris must have applied layer after layer, in both the underpainting and in the final paint.\[8\]

The painting was formerly signed in full and dated “1663,” but the signature and date disappeared during a cleaning in 2009, a not uncommon phenomenon with Van Mieris’s paintings.\[8\] An unsigned work in London (fig 2), painted on a copperplate and of slightly lesser quality, has traditionally been regarded as an autograph replica of this panel painting.\[9\] After the two works were shown side by side in an exhibition at the National Gallery in London in 2010, however, subtle differences were noted in the way textures of materials were rendered, which seems to indicate that the London version was made by another painter working in Van Mieris’s studio.\[11\] Little is known about how his studio was actually organized, so even the very question of whether Van Mieris made autograph replicas remains unresolved.\[12\]

The London painting and more than two dozen copies made after Young Woman Feeding a Parrot attest to the great popularity of this small masterpiece.\[13\] The composition must also have made a great impact on fellow painters.\[14\] Van Mieris’s famous teacher Gerrit Dou (1613–75), for example, depicted the subject of a woman with a parrot probably only after his pupil had finished the present painting (see GD-105).\[15\] Van Mieris’s paintings also inspired many of his contemporaries, including Johannes Vermeer (1632–75) and Gabriel Metsu (1629–67). The latter artist’s Woman Sewing, with a Parrot (fig 3) is so similar to Young Woman Feeding a Parrot that it is very likely that Metsu tried to emulate Van Mieris’s work even as he translated Van Mieris’s painting technique into his own visual vocabulary.\[16\] 

Young Woman Feeding a Parrot was formerly one of the highlights of the paintings collection of the Bavarian Electors.\[17\] It had probably been acquired by Elector Maximilian II Emanuel of Bavaria (1662–1726). As of 1836, it was exhibited in the Alte Pinakothek in Munich. In the latter half of the nineteenth century, the paintings by Van Mieris and other fijnschilders such as Gerrit Dou gradually fell from favor. Ironically, this rejection of their work was based on the same qualities that had attracted such admiration in the beginning, namely, their refined brushstrokes. In the nineteenth century, the view had gradually taken hold that a painter’s work must display his distinctive hand. The precise imitation of reality was seen less
and less as an artistic virtue; on the contrary, it was considered a reprehensible "trick," certainly after the advent of photography. With this shift, art was viewed and valued increasingly in terms of expression.

Rembrandt van Rijn (1606–69) and Frans Hals (1582/83–1666) became Dutch national symbols after their "rediscovery" by the French art critic Étienne-Joseph-Théophile Thoré (1807–69), who published under the pseudonym Willem Bürger. Their loosely painted works formed a major source of inspiration for impressionists and others, whereas the work of the fijnschilders lost its influence. In the 1920s and 1930s this reversal in appreciation eventually led some German museums to deaccession numerous works by the fijnschilders, including Young Woman Feeding a Parrot, which was sold in 1936. After World War II the painting entered the collection of Charles Dunlap of Philadelphia. When his widow sold the work at auction in 1975, the painting became part of the collection of Lord Harold Samuel (1912–87), who eventually bequeathed most of his collection to the City of London to be placed in Mansion House. Only a few paintings, including the present one, were not part of this bequest. In December 2008, Van Mieris’s masterpiece was sold at a public auction, and shortly thereafter it was acquired for the Leiden Collection.

-Quentin Buvelot
Endnotes


2. Barely visible in the background at left is a bed with curtains and on the right an open door.


7. This resemblance has been noted in earlier literature on the painting; see the remarks in Otto Naumann, “Frans van Mieris as a Draughtsman,” Master Drawings 16, no. 1 (1978): 25, in no. 2. See also Quentin Buvelot, ed., Frans van Mieris 1635–1681 (Exh. cat. The Hague, Mauritshuis; Washington D.C., National Gallery of Art) (New Haven, 2005), 163.

8. The technique of Van Mieris’s Young Woman Feeding a Parrot is discussed extensively by E. Melanie Gifford, “Fine Painting and Eloquent Imprecision: Gabriel Metsu’s Painting Technique,” in Gabriel Metsu, ed. Adriaan E. Waiboer (Exh. cat. Dublin, National Gallery of Ireland; Amsterdam, Rijksmuseum; Washington D.C., National Gallery of Art) (New Haven, 2010), 176–77.


15. Private collection, United States; see Wilhelm Martin, Gerard Dou: Des Meisters Gemälde (Stuttgart and Berlin, 1913), fig. 111; Adriaan E. Waiboer, Gabriel Metsu, Life and Work: A Catalogue Raisonné (New Haven, 2012), 375 n. 114.


17. With thanks to Dr. Marcus Dekiert, former curator of Dutch and German baroque painting at the Alte Pinakothek, Munich, who assisted most generously with the reconstruction of the
data given in the provenance section.


Provenance

- The Collection of the Bavarian Electors, Schloss Schleißheim, Oberschleißheim, probably acquired by Elector Maximilian II Emanuel of Bavaria (1662–1726), before 1726; by descent to Charles VII Albert (1697–1745), 1726–45; by descent to the Bavarian Electoral and Royal Collection (Bayerische Staatsgemäldesammlungen) in the following locations: Schloss Schleißheim, 1748–75; Hofgartengalerie, Munich, by 1783; Alte Pinakothek, Munich, 1836–1923; Staatsgemäldesammlungen, Speyer, 1923–36 [D. A. Hoogendijk, Amsterdam, 19 August 1936].
- Mr. and Mrs. David Birnbaum, who later changed their name to Bingham, New York, by 1942 and until at least 1945.
- Mr. Charles E. Dunlap (1889–1966), Philadelphia, probably by 1945; by descent to Mrs. Charles Dunlap, New York (her sale, Sotheby’s Parke Bernet, New York, 3 December 1975, no. 304 [Edward Speelman for Lord Harold Samuel for $155,000]).
- From whom acquired by the present owner.
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- New York, Metropolitan Museum of Art, on loan with the permanent collection, September 2009–June 2010 [lent by the present owner].
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**Versions**

**Engraved**

1. Ignaz Sebastian Klauber, after Frans van Mieris, *Portrait of Frans van Mieris’s Wife (so-called)*, 1789, etching and engraving, 29.7 x 25.1 cm (plate from *Tableaux, Statues, Bas-Reliefs et Camées, de la Galerie de Florence et du Palais Pitti*, vol. 2 [Paris: L. J.
Versions and Copies

1. Frans van Mieris the Elder, A Woman in a Red Jacket Feeding a Parrot, ca. 1663, oil on copper, 22.5 x 17.3 cm, National Gallery, London, NG840 (Otto Naumann, Frans van Mieris (1635–1681) the Elder, 2 vols. [Doornspijk, 1981], 2:65, no. 54II, probably a second version by the artist).

2. After Frans van Mieris, oil on panel, 21.7 x 16.2 cm (8 x 6 pouces), previously sale, Choiseul-Praslin, Paris, 18 February 1793, no. 68 (Otto Naumann, Frans van Mieris (1635–1681) the Elder, 2 vols. [Doornspijk, 1981], 2:66, no. 54a).

3. Circle of Frans van Mieris the Elder, A Lady with Her Parrot, oil on panel, 22.6 x 17.4 cm, Royal Collection Trust, Buckingham Palace, London (Otto Naumann, Frans van Mieris (1635–1681) the Elder, 2 vols. [Doornspijk, 1981], 2:66, no. 54b, fig. C 54b).

4. After Frans van Mieris, oil on panel, 22.5 x 16.1 cm (8 ¾ x 6 ¼ duim), previously sale, Johan van der Linden van Slingelandt, Dordrecht, 22 August 1785, no. 263 (Otto Naumann, Frans van Mieris (1635–1681) the Elder, 2 vols. [Doornspijk, 1981], 2:66, no. 54c).

5. After Frans van Mieris, oil on panel, 20.6 x 15.4 cm (8 x 6 duim), previously anonymous sale, Rotterdam, 6 June 1810, no. 12 (Otto Naumann, Frans van Mieris (1635–1681) the Elder, 2 vols. [Doornspijk, 1981], 2:66, no. 54d, possibly identical with no. II 54c).

6. After Frans van Mieris, oil on panel, 22.5 x 17.5 cm, formerly Gemäldegalerie, Dresden, from 1751, destroyed during World War II (Otto Naumann, Frans van Mieris (1635–1681) the Elder, 2 vols. [Doornspijk, 1981], 2:66, no. 54e, fig. C 54e, as possibly by Frans van Mieris the Elder).

7. After Frans van Mieris, oil on panel, 21.5 x 17.8 cm, formerly Sir Francis Cook and Sir Frederick Cook, Doughty House, Richmond (Otto Naumann, Frans van Mieris (1635–1681)
8. After Frans van Mieris, oil on copper, 22.9 x 17.8 cm (9 x 7 in), previously anonymous sale, Christie’s, London, 23 April 1954, no. 38 (Otto Naumann, *Frans van Mieris (1635–1681) the Elder*, 2 vols. [Doornspijk, 1981], 2:67, no. 54f, fig. C 54f).


19. After Frans van Mieris, oil on panel, 23 x 19.5 cm, City Museum, Alès, no. 43 (Otto Naumann, *Frans van Mieris (1635–1681) the Elder*, 2 vols. [Doornspijk, 1981], 2:68, no. 54r).
20. After Frans van Mieris, oil on panel, 36.8 x 29.2 cm, previously sale, Earl of Jersey, London, 15 July 1944, no. 16, as Ter Borch (Otto Naumann, *Frans van Mieris (1635–1681) the Elder*, 2 vols. [Doornspijk, 1981], 2:68, no. 54s).


23. After Frans van Mieris, oil on panel, 26 x 21 cm, Musée des Beaux-Arts, Mulhouse, since 1876 (Otto Naumann, *Frans van Mieris (1635–1681) the Elder*, 2 vols. [Doornspijk, 1981], 2:68, no. 54v).


**Drawings**


2. Abraham Delfos (sale, L. van Oukerke, Haarlem, 19 May 1818, Kbk. E., no. 8).

3. J. F. Schiereeke (sales: Jan Gildemeester, Amsterdam, 24 July 1800, Kbk. N., no. 16; J. Goll van Franckenstein, Amsterdam, 1 August 1833, no. 8; H. de Kat, Rotterdam, 4 March 1867, no. 717).

**Versions Notes**

**Technical Summary**
The support, a single plank of vertically grained, rectangular-shaped oak, has one bevel along the upper edge.\(^1\) Shims toned black have been glued to all four sides, and the upper and lower shims have been reinforced with brads.\(^2\) A red wax collection seal with no recognizable impression, ten red wax dots, and six paper labels are located along the panel reverse, but there are no import stamps, inscriptions or panel maker’s marks.

A white-colored ground of medium thickness has been evenly applied. The paint has been applied smoothly in thin layers with low brushmarking of transparent glazing, with lighter more opaque tones and highlights ranging from creamy opaque lines to thin light scumbles.

The painting is unsigned and undated; however, an engraving by Ignaz Sebastian Klauber from 1789 indicates the painting was formerly signed and dated “F. van Mieris fect Anno 1663.”\(^4\)

The painting was not examined with infrared. The X-radiograph reveals a compositional change in the lower left corner where a draped cloth-like form was previously located where the parrot’s post now stands.

The painting was cleaned and restored in 2009 and remains in a good state of preservation despite areas of thinness through the brown background, parrot, and figure’s ribbon and hair.\(^5\)

**Technical Summary Endnotes**

1. The characterization of the wood is based on visual examination of the X-radiograph and panel reverse images by Ian Tyers. According to Tyers, the panel has good potential for dendrochronology but would require removal of two shims.

2. The upper shim has been split by one of the brads and may have been previously removed, perhaps for dendrochronology.


