



Christ on the Mount of Olives

Arent de Gelder
(Dordrecht 1645 – 1727 Dordrecht)

ca. 1715
oil on panel
36.8 x 42.5 cm
AG-105



How to cite

Wheelock, Arthur K., Jr. "Christ on the Mount of Olives" (2022). In *The Leiden Collection Catalogue*, 4th ed. Edited by Arthur K. Wheelock Jr. and Elizabeth Nogrady with Caroline Van Cauwenberge. New York, 2023-. <https://theleidencollection.com/artwork/christ-on-the-mount-of-olives/> (accessed January 23, 2026).

A PDF of every version of this entry is available in this Online Catalogue's Archive, and the Archive is managed by a permanent URL. New versions are added only when a substantive change to the narrative occurs.



Arent de Gelder's painting captures the essence of a miraculous moment described in the Gospel of St. Luke 22:39–46, when an angel, descended from Heaven, comforts and supports Christ as he prays in a wooded grove at the Garden of Gethsemane near the Mount of Olives. The angel, dressed in radiant white robes, tenderly reaches toward the kneeling Savior, who prays with lowered head and raised hands. Simultaneously, and with a gesture that visually strengthens their bond, the angel's extended wing wraps around and embraces Christ. The two figures glow in a pool of heavenly light, more spiritual than physical. It overwhelms the profound darkness of the evening, dimly illuminating the surrounding olive trees and three sleeping disciples huddled together in the immediate foreground.

Christ's encounter with the angel occurred on Passover, shortly after the Last Supper when he had informed his disciples that one of them would betray him, and before Judas betrayed him in the Garden of Gethsemane. After the Passover feast, Jesus, as was his custom, went to the garden at the foot of the Mount of Olives with a few of his followers, whom the Gospel of St. Matthew identifies as Peter and the sons of Zebedee, James and John.^[1] When Christ arrived in the garden, according to the Gospel of St. Luke, he urged his disciples to "pray that you will not come into temptation." He then moved "about a stone's throw" away and prayed to God: "Father, if You are willing, remove this cup from Me; yet not my will, but Yours be done."^[2] As in answer to his plea, an angel descended from Heaven to succor him in his agony. Luke relates that, even with the angel by his side, Christ's suffering was so intense that "His sweat became like drops of blood, falling down upon the ground."^[3] He then discovered that his disciples, exhausted from sorrow, had fallen asleep. In the Gospel of St. Matthew, Christ admonished Peter with these words: "So, you men could not keep watch with Me for one hour? Keep watching and praying, so that you do not come into temptation; the spirit is willing, but the flesh is weak."^[4]

Small though this painting may be, it powerfully captures the sense of isolation and anguish that consumed Christ as he prayed in the Garden of Gethsemane, even while being supported by the angel. The emotional intensity of De Gelder's interpretation of this drama is enhanced by the visionary quality of the scene. While Christ and the angel are brilliantly lit, they are relatively small and distant from the viewer. They also appear insubstantial, for De Gelder only broadly suggested their figures with thick impastos and flowing brushstrokes. Translucencies in the angel's white robes, intended or not, suggest the spiritual nature of the figure comforting Christ at this frightful moment.

As was often the case throughout his career, Arent de Gelder drew inspiration from Rembrandt van Rijn (1606–69) when devising his composition, primarily echoing the

Comparative Figures



Fig 1. Rembrandt van Rijn, *The Agony in the Garden*, ca. 1657, etching and drypoint, 111 x 84 mm, British Museum, London, inv. no. 1910,0212.384, © The Trustees of the British Museum.



Fig 2. Rembrandt van Rijn, *Christ in the Garden of Gethsemane*, ca. 1657, pen and brush in brown, covered in places with opaque white, 179 x 298 mm, Kupferstichkabinett, Hamburger Kunsthalle, inv. no. 22413, © bpk Bildagentur / Hamburger Kunsthalle / Elke Walford / Art Resource, NY.



Fig 3. Arent de Gelder, *Christ's Prayer in the Garden of Gethsemane*, ca. 1715, oil on canvas, 72.1 x 59 cm, Staatsgalerie Aschaffenburg, Schloss Johannisburg, inv. no. 6298, © bpk



master's print of the same subject (**fig 1**),^[5] but perhaps also a related drawing (**fig 2**).^[6] Both of these works date from the late 1650s, just prior to the period during which De Gelder studied with the master. In each composition, Rembrandt similarly depicted a winged angel embracing Christ as he prays on a small knoll. Heavenly light, streaming in from the upper left, breaks through the night's darkness to illuminate the figures. Situated somewhat apart from them are the sleeping disciples, entirely unaware of the dramatic encounter occurring nearby.

Even though De Gelder drew heavily on one or both of Rembrandt's compositions, he diverged from them in multiple ways to impart his own interpretation of the biblical story. De Gelder's scene is more restrained, and the figures of Christ and the angel are less physical than those in Rembrandt's drawing and etching. He eschewed the diagonals that Rembrandt used to heighten the drama of the scene, among them the light rays indicating the source of the heavenly light. Instead, to focus on the tender emotional support that the angel gives to Christ in his intense sorrow, De Gelder created a quieter composition, more circular than angular, where light seems to emanate from the figures themselves. The arched shapes of the olive trees surrounding Christ and the angel gently frame this miraculous encounter. De Gelder also reversed the relative positions of the sleeping disciples in relation to Christ and the angel. By situating the disciples in the immediate foreground, De Gelder afforded them greater significance within the biblical narrative than they had enjoyed in Rembrandt's compositions, an importance that likely reflected his own deeply felt belief that Christ suffered to atone for our sins.

De Gelder's religious ideas were undoubtedly formed during his early years in his native Dordrecht, a city that fully embraced the tenets of the Dutch Reformed Church. Central to the religious training De Gelder would have received was the Heidelberg Catechism, endorsed by the Dordrecht Synod of 1618–19, which was taught not only in the Reformed Church but also at home and in school. The Heidelberg Catechism presented church dogma in a question-and-answer format that students and parishioners carefully memorized.^[7]

A major focus of the catechism was the enormity of Christ's suffering at the end of his life. For example, one of the questions asked, "What do you understand by the word *suffered*?" The proscribed answer: "During his whole life on earth, but especially at the end, Christ sustained in body and soul the wrath of God against the sin of the whole human race. This he did in order that, by his suffering as the only atoning sacrifice, he might deliver us, body and soul, from eternal condemnation, and gain for us God's grace, righteousness, and eternal life." The Heidelberg Catechism specifically stated that Christ's suffering was due to God's wrath "against the sin of the whole human race"; thus, his suffering was understood as a direct consequence of

Bildagentur / Staatsgalerie Schloss Johannisburg / Art Resource, NY.



Fig 4. Arent de Gelder, *Jacob's Dream*, ca. 1715, oil on canvas, 66.7 x 56.9 cm, Dulwich Picture Gallery, London, inv. no. DPG126, © Dulwich Picture Gallery / Bridgeman Images.



Fig 5. Arent de Gelder, *Healing of the Sick*, ca. 1722–25, oil on panel, 40.6 x 56.8 cm, The Leiden Collection, New York, inv. no. AG-106.



human failures, including those of the disciples who slept rather than prayed that they not succumb to temptation.

In addition to The Leiden Collection's *Christ on the Mount of Olives*, the artist's remarkable *Passion Series*, created in the last years of his life, also exemplifies De Gelder's pictorial expression of Christ's suffering. Arnold Houbraken discussed this series in his biographical account of the artist, which he wrote in 1715:

The last of his work was the Passion, otherwise known as the history of the suffering Christ, in 22 pieces, of which 20 are already completed, in which most artfully the many passions or emotions may be seen from recognizable expressions, just as there is an inconceivable variation of dress and strange contrivances with respect to the clothing of figures, supplements and choices of daylight and shadow. And I guess that these works will also be his last, because he already spends ample time going to church and visiting his friends. He is now, as I write this in the year 1715, still in good health and single.^[8]

Much can be gleaned from this informative text. Houbraken, who was also a native of Dordrecht and thus fully versed in the Heidelberg Catechism and its emphasis on Christ's suffering, remarks that the *Passion Series* was known as "the history of the suffering of Christ." Houbraken notes that De Gelder had planned his series to number 22 works, 20 of which had already been completed by 1715 when he wrote his account. Houbraken discusses the remarkable range of passions or emotions that De Gelder incorporated in these works, as well as the variations in dress and costumes and his "choices of daylight and shadow." Also important is his speculation that these works will be De Gelder's "last, because he already spends ample time going to church and visiting his friends"—indicating that De Gelder's religious beliefs remained strong throughout his life.

Twelve of the twenty-two paintings from the *Passion Series* mentioned by Houbraken, and listed in De Gelder's inventory of 1727, are still known, with subjects ranging from *The Last Supper* to *The Ascension of Christ*. With two exceptions,^[9] the surviving paintings are in Aschaffenburg, at the Schloss Johannisburg, where they create a moving account of the dramatic events associated with the Passion described in the Gospels. Modest in size (72.1 x 59 cm) but powerful in visual impact, each of these scenes depicts small-scale elongated figures situated in an expansive spatial environment—whether in exterior settings, as in *The Arrest of Christ*, or indoors, as in *Christ before Caiaphas*, the two works from the series held by the Rijksmuseum in Amsterdam.

Thematically and stylistically, *Christ on the Mount of Olives* relates to one of these



paintings, *Christ's Prayer in the Garden of Gethsemane* (fig 3), which suggests that De Gelder likely executed it around 1715 as well. In no other instance does such a close connection exist between one of De Gelder's compositions and a painting from the *Passion Series*, which has suggested to many that *Christ on the Mount of Olives* was a preliminary study for *Christ's Prayer in the Garden of Gethsemane*.^[10] On balance, however, this hypothesis seems unlikely.^[11] Paintings belonging to the *Passion Series* are vertical compositions, uniform in size, and executed on canvas supports, whereas *Christ on the Mount of Olives* is a horizontal composition and painted on panel. Among the compositional similarities that connect the two works are the small, light-filled figures of Christ and the angel situated within a dark, wooded landscape, and depictions of the disciples quietly sleeping nearby. Nevertheless, fundamental compositional and conceptual differences exist. For example, in *Christ's Prayer in the Garden of Gethsemane*, the viewer looks down on the encounter between Christ and the angel, whereas in The Leiden Collection's painting, the viewer looks up at them. As opposed to *Christ on the Mount of Olives*, in the related scene in the *Passion Series*, the viewer observes the scene from behind a wooden fence that separates the viewer, both physically and emotionally, from the miraculous encounter between the angel and Christ.

It is likely that neither the *Passion Series* nor *Christ on the Mount of Olives* in The Leiden Collection was painted on commission. John Loughman has convincingly proposed that the *Passion Series*, which remained in De Gelder's possession to the end of his life and is listed in his inventory, was the artist's personal meditation on Christ's Passion.^[12] The same argument could be applied to *Christ on the Mount of Olives*, which represents an even more personal and emotionally charged evocation of the scene than its depiction in the *Passion Series*. Loughman further emphasized that De Gelder's *Passion Series* shares many similarities with meditative literature—both poetry and passion books—that flourished in the late seventeenth century. Authors of these works, including the poets Jeremias de Decker (1609–66) and Heyman Dullaert (1636–84),^[13] described Christ's sufferings in ways that would invite readers to contemplate why Christ endured such pain and reflect on the underlying meanings of his Passion.^[14]

The earliest provenance history of *Christ on the Mount of Olives* is unknown, but by 1795, when it is first mentioned in the literature, the painting was in France and attributed to Rembrandt. The painting appeared in the estate sale of the Parisian collector and art dealer Claude-François Julliot (1727–94), where it was celebrated for making “*presto* an astonishing light effect that is admirable.”^[15] The painting next appeared in Paris in 1826 in the estate sale of the celebrated Baron Dominique-Vivant Denon (1747–1825), artist, diplomat, and former director of the Musées Royaux.^[16] In this sale, the attribution to Rembrandt was enthusiastically endorsed, not only for



the painting's unusual conceit but also for the magic of its color and light effects that create the sense of an "apparition." The sale catalogue entry remarks specifically that the pose of Christ perfectly captures the agony that afflicted him: "This painting, extraordinary in its conception and in its effect, belongs entirely to the genius of Rembrandt."^[17]

After the sale, *Christ on the Mount of Olives* was acquired by the English art dealer Thomas Emmerson (1776–1855), who took the painting to London, where it soon entered the collection of John Charles Robinson (1824–1913), a painter and graphic artist who became a curator at the Victoria and Albert Museum, the first president of the Burlington Fine Arts Club, and one of the founders of the Royal Society of Painter-Printmakers. At the time of the sale of his collection in 1868, Robinson published *Memoranda on Fifty Pictures*, in which he wrote about his supposed Rembrandt painting: "This most poetical and beautiful sketch may be compared with the celebrated little picture of *Jacob's Dream*, in the Dulwich Picture Gallery (fig 4), and was probably executed about the same time and under the same influences."^[18]

At the recommendation of Robinson, who was artistic advisor to Sir Francis Cook (1817–1901), first Viscount of Monserrate, at Doughty House, Richmond, *Christ on the Mount of Olives* entered the Cook collection, which became one of the foremost English collections in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. The attribution of the painting, however, was changed from Rembrandt to Arent de Gelder in 1914 when the third baronet Herbert Cook (1868–1939) published a catalogue of the collection. The attribution to Arent de Gelder, which has been universally accepted ever since, was made by J.O. Kronig (1887–1984), former director of the Frans Hals Museum, largely based on stylistic and thematic connections to De Gelder's *Passion Series*.^[19]

Christ on the Mount of Olives remained in the Cook family until 1966, when Sir Francis Ferdinand Maurice Cook (1907–78), fourth Baronet, Doughty House, Richmond, sold it at Christie's in London.^[20] After passing through the hands of the New York / London art dealer Julius H. Weitzner (1895–1986), *Christ on the Mount of Olives* was auctioned at Sotheby's in London in 1973,^[21] at which time the American art dealer Richard L. Feigen (1930–2021) purchased it for his private collection. Later that same year, Feigen acquired a second painting by De Gelder, *Healing of the Sick* (fig 5). Both works remained in Feigen's private collection until his death and were acquired by The Leiden Collection in 2021.^[22]



- Arthur K. Wheelock Jr., 2022



Endnotes

1. Matthew 26:36–39 (New American Standard Bible, NASB): “Then Jesus came with them to a place called Gethsemane, and told His disciples, ‘Sit here while I go over there and pray.’ And He took Peter and the two sons of Zebedee with Him, and began to be grieved and distressed. Then He said to them, ‘My soul is deeply grieved, to the point of death; remain here and keep watch with Me.’ And He went a little beyond them, and fell on His face and prayed, saying, ‘My Father, if it possible, let this cup pass from Me; yet not as I will, but as you will.’”
2. Luke 22:40–43 (NASB).
3. Luke 22:44 (NASB).
4. Matthew 26:40–41 (NASB).
5. Although De Gelder would likely have known this print from the period in which he was Rembrandt’s pupil, he probably saw it again in the collection of Jacob Moelaert (1649–1727), a friend of the painter who owned multiple prints and drawings by Rembrandt that he bequeathed to De Gelder. See Peter Schoon, “Arent de Gelder (1645–1727) ‘de beste Leerling die Rembrandt teelde by de adelijke Schilderkonst,’” in *Arent de Gelder (1645–1727): Rembrandts laatste leerling*, ed. Dirck Bijker (exh. cat. Dordrecht, Dordrechts Museum; Cologne, Wallraf-Richartz-Museum) (Ghent, 1998), 15, 220–22, cat. 42.
6. See Werner Sumowski, *Gemälde der Rembrandt-Schüler*, vol. 2, *G. van den Eeckhout–I. de Joudreville* (Landau, 1983), 1170, no. 766, “The composition is based on Rembrandt’s drawing of the same subject in the Hamburger Kunsthalle (Benesch V, no. 899), and after his etching B. 75.” It is far more likely that De Gelder would have known Rembrandt’s etching than this drawing. Although both Rembrandt’s drawing of *Christ in the Garden of Gethsemane* and De Gelder’s painting are similar in that Christ is shown in profile, a major difference is that Rembrandt’s drawing also depicts Christ’s betrayers in the background of the scene.
7. This discussion is largely based on Guus Sluiter, “*De Passie, anders de Historie van den lyden Christus*” Arent de Gelders Passieserie,” in *Arent de Gelder (1645–1727): Rembrandts laatste leerling*, ed. Dirck Bijker (Exh. cat. Dordrecht, Dordrechts Museum; Cologne, Wallraf-Richartz-Museum) (Ghent, 1998), 71–85, esp. 83–85. In this essay, Sluiter examines the religious ideas and training that Arent de Gelder would have received in his native Dordrecht.
8. Arnold Houbraken, *De groote schouburgh der Nederlandsche konstschilders en schilderessen* (Amsterdam, 1718–21; rev. ed. The Hague, 1753; repr. Amsterdam, 1980), 3: 208.
9. These two works are: *The Arrest of Christ* and *Christ before Caiaphas* (both 1700–1727, Rijksmuseum, Amsterdam).
10. Karl Lilienfeld, *Arent de Gelder: Sein Leben und seine Kunst* (The Hague, 1914), 155, 157, no. 82: “Es ist daher nicht ausgeschlossen, dass das Bild trotz seines Breitformats und Materials (Holz) der ursprünglichen



Serie von 22 Stiick angehörte. Es wird wohl—eben wegen seiner flotteren Malweise—als Vorstudie zu dem Gemälde gleichen Gegenstandes in Aschaffenburg entstanden sein.” (It cannot be ruled out that the picture, despite its wide format and material [wood], belonged to the original series of 22 works. It might have been created—precisely because of its brisk painting style—as a preliminary study for the painting of the same subject in Aschaffenburg.) John Charles van Dycke, *Rembrandt and His School: A Critical Study of the Master and His Pupils with a New Assignment of Their Pictures* (New York, 1923), 92, states that it may have been the original sketch for the Aschaffenburg painting. David Raymond van Fossen, “The Paintings of Aert de Gelder” (PhD diss., Harvard University, 1969), 190, no. 29, 279, finds Lilienfeld’s suggestion “very likely.” Werner Sumowski, *Gemälde der Rembrandt-Schüler*, vol. 2, *G. van den Eeckhout—I. de Joudreville* (Landau, 1983), 1170, no. 766, describes the work as “Sketch for cat. no. 767 in Aschaffenburg.” Ann Jensen Adams, *Dutch and Flemish Paintings from New York Private Collections* (Exh. cat. New York, National Academy of Design) (New York, 1988), 52, 68, no. 19, agrees that the painting is “probably a study that De Gelder made for his painting of the same subject.” Guus Sluijter, in *Arent de Gelder (1645–1727)*, *Rembrandts laatste leerling*, ed. Dirck Bijker (exh. cat. Dordrecht, Dordrechts Museum; Cologne, Wallraf-Richartz-Museum) (Ghent, 1998), 234–35, no. 48, believes that the hypothesis that this painting is a study for the related scene in the *Passion Series* is correct.

11. This is also the opinion of Joachim Wolfgang Moltke, *Arent de Gelder: Dordrecht 1645–1727* (Doornspijk, 1994), 89–90: “This painting does not belong to the Passion series.”
12. John Loughman, “Een stad en haar kunstconsumptie: openbare en privé-verzamelingen in Dordrecht, 1620–1719,” in *De Zichtbaere Werelt: Schilderkunst uit de Gouden eeuw in Hollands oudste stad* (Exh. cat. Dordrecht, Dordrechts Museum) (Zwolle, 1992), 179.
13. Both poets could have been known to De Gelder through their connections to Rembrandt. Rembrandt painted a portrait of Jeremias de Decker in 1656 (State Hermitage Museum, St. Petersburg), and Heyman Dullaert, who was both a painter and a poet, studied with Rembrandt.
14. For a further discussion of the importance of meditative literature in the late seventeenth century, see Guus Sluijter, “*De Passie, anders de Historie van den lyden Christus*” Arent de Gelders Passieserie,” in *Arent de Gelder (1645–1727): Rembrandts laatste leerling*, ed. Dirck Bijker (Exh. cat. Dordrecht, Dordrechts Museum; Cologne, Wallraf-Richartz-Museum) (Ghent, 1998), 84–85.
15. “*Presto a un effect de lumiere surprenant qui le fait admirer.*” Claude-François Julliot’s sale, Jean-Baptiste-Pierre Lebrun, Paris, 3 July 1795, no. 13, as by Rembrandt van Rijn.
16. For a full discussion of Baron Dominique-Vivant Denon and his collection, see Louis Clément de Ris, *Les Amateurs d’autrefois* (Paris, 1877), 407–51. *Christ on the Mount of Olives* is listed on p. 444, no. 104 (as by Rembrandt van Rijn).
17. “*Ce tableau, extraordinaire dans sa conception et dans son effet, appartient tout entier au genie de Rembrandt.*” Baron Dominique-Vivant Denon’s sale, Alexis-Antoine Pérignon, Paris, 5 May 1826, no. 104, as by Rembrandt van Rijn.



18. John Charles Robinson, *Memoranda on Fifty Pictures Selected from a Collection of Works of the Ancient Masters: With Notices of Some Italian, Spanish, German, Flemish and Dutch Painters* (London, 1868), 96, no. 41 (as by Rembrandt van Rijn). Robinson's association of *Christ on the Mount of Olives* with *Jacob's Dream* in the Dulwich Picture Gallery painting is correct. The Dulwich painting, which also dates around 1715 and was formerly attributed to Rembrandt, is now rightly attributed to Arent de Gelder.
19. J.O. Kronig, *A Catalogue of the Paintings at Doughty House Richmond and Elsewhere in the Collection of Sir Frederick Cook, bt., Visconde de Monserrate*, vol. 2, *Dutch and Flemish Schools*, ed. Herbert Cook (London, 1914), 38, no. 259.
20. Sale, Christie's, London, 25 November 1966, no. 64.
21. Sale, Sotheby's, London, 11 July 1973, no. 96.
22. See also the entry for De Gelder's *Healing of the Sick* in this catalogue.

Provenance

- Claude-François Julliot (1727–94), Paris (his sale, Jean-Baptiste-Pierre Lebrun, Paris, 3 July 1795, no. 13, as by Rembrandt van Rijn [for 610 assignats]).
- Baron Dominique-Vivant Denon (1747–1825), Paris (his sale, Alexis-Antoine Pérignon, Paris, 5 May 1826, no. 104, as by Rembrandt van Rijn [bought in by Pérignon for 2,251 francs]) [to Thomas Emmerson].
- [Thomas Emmerson (1776–1855), London (his sale, Phillips, London, 2 May 1829, no. 114, as by Rembrandt van Rijn [for 78.15 pounds]).]
- Sir John Charles Robinson (1824–1913), London (his sale, Hotel Drouot, Paris, 7 May 1868, no. 35, as by Rembrandt van Rijn [bought in by Robinson for 700 francs] to Sir Francis Cook).
- Sir Francis Cook (1817–1901), first Baronet, first Viscount of Monserrate, Doughty House, Richmond; by descent to his great-grandson Sir Francis Ferdinand Maurice Cook.
- Sir Francis Ferdinand Maurice Cook (1907–78), fourth Baronet, Doughty House, Richmond (sale, Christie's, London, 25 November 1966, no. 64).
- [Julius H. Weitzner (1895–1986), London, by 1969.]
- [Hallsborough Gallery, London, 1971.]
- (Sale, Sotheby's, London, 11 July 1973, no. 96 [to Richard L. Feigen for £5,000].)
- Richard L. Feigen (1930–2021), New York and Chicago, 1973.^[1]
- From whom acquired, through Sotheby's, New York (private sale), by the present owner in 2021.

Provenance Notes



1. Arent de Gelder's *Healing of the Sick*, now in The Leiden Collection, was also formerly in the collection of Richard L. Feigen.

Exhibition History

- Sheffield, Graves Art Gallery, “Dutch Masterpieces,” 3 March–5 April 1956, no. 14 [lent by Sir Francis Ferdinand Maurice Cook]^[1]
- Manchester, Manchester City Art Gallery, on loan with the permanent collection, 1964–66 [lent by Sir Francis Ferdinand Maurice Cook].
- Chicago, Art Institute of Chicago, “Rembrandt after Three Hundred Years: An Exhibition of Rembrandt and His Followers,” 25 October–7 December 1969; Minneapolis, Minneapolis Institute of Arts, 22 December 1969–1 February 1970; Detroit, Detroit Institute of Arts, 24 February–5 April 1970, no. 69 [lent by Julius H. Weitzner].
- New York, National Academy of Design, “Dutch and Flemish Paintings from New York Private Collections,” 10 August–25 September 1988, no. 19 [lent by Richard L. Feigen].
- Dordrecht, Dordrechts Museum, “Arent de Gelder (1645–1727): Rembrandts laatste leerling,” 11 October 1998–24 January 1999; Cologne, Wallraf-Richartz Museum, 19 February–19 May 1999, no. 48 [lent by Richard L. Feigen].
- Paris, Musée du Louvre, “Dominique-Vivant Denon: L’oeil de Napoléon,” 20 October 1999–17 January 2000, no. 533 [lent by Richard L. Feigen].
- Amsterdam, Hermitage Amsterdam, “Rembrandt and his Contemporaries: History Paintings from The Leiden Collection,” 4 February–27 August 2023, no. 16 [lent by the present owner].
- West Palm Beach, Norton Museum of Art, “Art and Life in Rembrandt’s Time: Masterpieces from The Leiden Collection,” 25 October 2025–29 March 2026 [lent by the present owner].

References

- Smith, John. *A Catalogue Raisonné of the Works of the Most Eminent Dutch, Flemish and French Painters*. London, 1836, 7: 37, no. 89 (as by Rembrandt van Rijn).
- Blanc, Charles. *Le Trésor de la curiosité, tiré des catalogues de vente de tableaux, dessins, estampes, livres, marbres, bronzes, ivoires, terres cuites, vitraux, médailles, armes, porcelaines, meubles, émaux, laques et autres objets d’art*. Paris, 1858, 2: 362 (as by Rembrandt van Rijn).
- Robinson, John Charles. *Memoranda on Fifty Pictures Selected from a Collection of Works of the Ancient Masters: With Notices of Some Italian, Spanish, German, Flemish and Dutch Painters*. London, 1868, 96, no. 41 (as by Rembrandt van Rijn).



- Clément de Ris, Louis. *Les Amateurs d'autrefois*. Paris, 1877, 444, no. 104 (as by Rembrandt van Rijn).
- Dutuit, Eugène. *Tableaux et dessins de Rembrandt*. Paris, 1885, 14 (as by Rembrandt van Rijn or school of Rembrandt).
- Kronig, J.O. A Catalogue of the Paintings at Doughty House Richmond and Elsewhere in the Collection of Sir Frederick Cook, bt., Visconde de Monserrate. Vol. 2, *Dutch and Flemish Schools*. Edited by Herbert Cook. London, 1914, 38, no. 259.
- Lilienfeld, Karl. *Arent de Gelder: Sein Leben und seine Kunst*. The Hague, 1914, 155, 157, no. 82.
- Hofstede de Groot, Cornelis. *Beschreibendes und kritisches Verzeichnis der Werke der hervorragendsten holländischen Maler des XVII. Jahrhunderts*. Esslingen, 1915, 6: 74, no. 120 (as by Rembrandt van Rijn).
- Hofstede de Groot, Cornelis. *A Catalogue Raisonné of the Works of the Most Eminent Dutch Painters of the Seventeenth Century Based on the Work of John Smith*. Edited and translated by Edward G. Hawke. London, 1916, 6: 94, no. 120 (as by Rembrandt van Rijn).
- Thieme, Ulrich, and Felix Becker, eds. *Allgemeines Lexicon der Bildenden Künstler von der Antike bis zur Gegenwart*. Leipzig, 1920, 13: 360.
- Van Dyke, John Charles. *Rembrandt and His School: A Critical Study of the Master and His Pupils with a New Assignment of Their Pictures*. New York, 1923, 92.
- Brockwell, Maurice W. *Abridged Catalogue of the Pictures at Doughty House, Richmond, Surrey, in the Collection of Sir Herbert Cook, Bart*. London, 1932, 75, no. 259.
- Benesch, Otto. "Rembrandt's Artistic Heritage." *Gazette des Beaux-Arts* 33 (1948): 290, 293, fig. 7.
- Graves Art Gallery. *Dutch Masterpieces*. Exh. cat. Sheffield, Graves Art Gallery. Sheffield, 1956, 6, no. 14.
- Sumowski, Werner. "Nachträge zum Rembrandtjahr 1956." *Wissenschaftliche Zeitschrift der Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin* 7 (1957–58): 226, 255, fig. 27.
- Sumowski, Werner. *Bemerkungen zu Otto Beneschs Corpus der Rembrandt-Zeichnungen II*. Bad Pyrmont, 1961, 16.
- Brochhagen, Ernst. *Galerie Aschaffenburg Katalog*. Munich, 1964, 80.
- Stedelijk Museum de Lakenhal. *Rondom Rembrandt: De verzameling Daan Cevat*. Exh. cat. Leiden, Stedelijk Museum de Lakenhal. Leiden, 1968, 12.
- Judson, Jay Richard, and Egbert Haverkamp Begemann, eds. *Rembrandt after Three Hundred Years: An Exhibition of Rembrandt and His Followers*. Exh. cat. Chicago, Art Institute of Chicago. Chicago, 1969, 73, 155, no. 69.
- Van Fossen, David Raymond. "The Paintings of Aert de Gelder." PhD diss., Harvard University, 1969, 190, no. 92, 279–80, fig. 95.
- Flower, Sibylla Jane. "Aert de Gelder, Hallsborough Gallery." *Connoisseur* 177, no. 712 (June 1971): 160.



- Brochhagen, Ernst. *Galerie Aschaffenburg Katalog*. Revised edition. Munich, 1975, 98.
- Sumowski, Werner. *Gemälde der Rembrandt-Schüler*. Vol. 2, *G. van den Eeckhout–I. de Joudreville*. Landau, 1983, 1170, no. 766.
- Adams, Ann Jensen. *Dutch and Flemish Paintings from New York Private Collections*. Exh. cat. New York, National Academy of Design. New York, 1988, 52, 68, no. 19.
- Moltke, Joachim Wolfgang. *Arent de Gelder: Dordrecht 1645–1727*. Doornspijk, 1994, 89–90, no. 60.
- Bijker, Dirk, ed. *Arent de Gelder (1645–1727): Rembrandts laatste leerling*. Exh. cat. Dordrecht, Dordrechts Museum; Cologne, Wallraf-Richartz Museum. Gent, 1998, 234–35, no. 48.
- Rosenberg, Pierre, and Marie-Anne Dupuy-Vachey, eds. *Dominique-Vivant Denon: L’Oeil de Napoléon*. Exh. cat. Paris, Musée du Louvre. Paris, 1999, 444–45, no. 533.
- Sluiter, Guus. “Gelder, Arent de.” In *Allgemeines Künstlerlexikon Online: Allgemeines Künstlerlexikon Online / Artists of the World Online*. Edited by Wolf Tegethoff, Bénédicte Savoy, and Andreas Beyer. Berlin, 2009. https://www.degruyter.com/database/AKL/entry/_00187651/html.
- Pergam, Elizabeth A. “John Charles Robinson in 1868: A Victorian Curator’s Collection on the Block.” *Journal of Art Historiography* 18 (June 2018): 28.
- Wheelock, Arthur K., Jr., Christiaan Vogelaar, and Caroline van Cauwenberge. *Rembrandt and His Contemporaries: History Paintings from The Leiden Collection*. Exh. cat. Amsterdam, Hermitage Amsterdam. Zwolle, 2023, 76–77, no. 16. [Exhibition catalogue also published in Dutch.]
- Nogrady, Elizabeth. “Arent de Gelder, *Christ on the Mount of Olives*.” In *Art and Life in Rembrandt’s Time*. Edited by Elizabeth Nogrady et al., 126, ill. Exh. cat. Amsterdam, H’ART Museum; West Palm Beach, Norton Museum of Art. Zwolle, 2025. [Exhibition catalogue also published in Dutch.]
- Wheelock, Arthur K., Jr. “Art and Life in Rembrandt’s Time.” In *Art and Life in Rembrandt’s Time*. Edited by Elizabeth Nogrady et al., 26. Exh. cat. Amsterdam, H’ART Museum; West Palm Beach, Norton Museum of Art. Zwolle, 2025. [Exhibition catalogue also published in Dutch.]

Versions Prints

1. Dominique-Vivant Denon (1747–1825), after Arent de Gelder, *Christ on the Mount of Olives*, late 18th–early 19th century, etching, 400 x 553 mm.
2. William Brockedon (1787–1845), after Arent de Gelder, *Christ on the Mount of Olives*, n.d., etching, dimensions unknown.