





Portrait of Antonie Coopal

Rembrandt van Rijn and Workshop (Leiden 1606 – 1669 Amsterdam) 1635
oil on Brazilian chestnut (sucupira)
83.5 x 67.6 cm
signed and dated in dark paint, lower right:
"Rembrandt. ft (followed by three dots set as a triangle)/ 1635"
RR-103

How to cite

De Witt, David, and Arthur K. Wheelock Jr.. "Portrait of Antonie Coopal" (2017). In *The Leiden Collection Catalogue*, 4th ed. Edited by Arthur K. Wheelock Jr. and Elizabeth Nogrady with Caroline Van Cauwenberge. New York, 2023–. https://theleidencollection.com/artwork/portrait-of-antonie-coopal/ (accessed August 26, 2025).

A PDF of every version of this entry is available in this Online Catalogue's Archive, and the Archive is managed by a permanent URL. New versions are added only when a substantive change to the narrative occurs.

Page 3 of 16



THE LEIDEN COLLECTION

This bust-length portrait of a young man with long curly blond hair and a widebrimmed hat has an appealing directness that immediately engages the viewer. The sitter's elegant demeanor and refined features are reinforced by his stylish turned-up moustache and carefully manicured chin tuft. His expansive broad lace collar and cuff, which stands out starkly against his black cloak, were at the height of fashion in 1635 when he sat for his portrait. As he gazes at the viewer over his right shoulder, the sitter has drawn his right hand up to his chest in a gesture that bespeaks humility and sincerity.

A Dutch label on the painting's verso (**fig 1**), with a French translation on a second label, identifies the sitter as Antonie Coopal (ca. 1606–72). There is no reason to question the sitter's identification, especially in light of Coopal's close family relationship to Rembrandt van Rijn: Antonie's brother François was married to Titia Uylenburgh, the sister of Rembrandt's wife, Saskia.^[11] Coopal, who hailed from Vlissingen in the province of Zeeland, studied medicine at Leiden University in the mid-1620s, after which he returned to his native city. In 1633 he assumed the first of the many administrative posts he held in that port town.^[2] The label adds a spurious title of Margrave of Antwerp, a position that Coopal sought, but never attained, as well as an unverifiable statement that he served as ambassador to Poland and England, assertions that likely reflect social concerns of his descendants. Coopal's place in history is best known by his audacious scheme to facilitate the capture of Antwerp by Prince Frederik Hendrik in 1646 with massive bribes to the Spanish garrison stationed there.

Painted on a highly unusual South American chestnut panel,^[3] this portrait is signed and dated in the lower right: Rembrandt.ft.../1635. On the basis of the description and measurements, it can be connected to a painting by Rembrandt from the Choiseul-Praslin Collection, sold in Paris in 1793.^[4] In 1938 Rembrandt's fame, as well as the portrait's compelling presentation of the sitter, no doubt attracted Adolf Hitler's agents, who looted this work from the collection of its then owner, Alphonse de Rothschild in Vienna. The painting was returned to the Rothschild family in 1946; subsequently it was with the art dealer Frederick Mont in New York. It was then acquired by Baron and Baroness Charles and Edith Neuman de Vègvàr of Greenwich, Connecticut, whose descendants lent it to the Museum of Fine Arts, Boston, from 1984 to 2007, at which time it was purchased by the present collector.

Despite Coopal's familial connections to Rembrandt and the signature and date, the attribution of this portrait to Rembrandt has been the subject of much dispute. The first scholar to express concerns about the attribution was Horst Gerson in his 1969 revision of Abraham Bredius's 1935 catalogue of Rembrandt's paintings.^[5] In 1986

Comparative Figures



Fig 1. Label on verso of RR-103



Fig 2. Rembrandt van Rijn, *Portrait of Philip Lucasz*, 1635, oil on panel, The National Gallery, London, inv. no. NG 850 © The National Gallery, London / Art Resource, NY



Fig 3. Rembrandt van Rijn, *Portrait of Philips Lucasz*, X-ray, 1635, oil on panel, The National Gallery, London, NG 850 © The National Gallery, London

Page 4 of 16



THE LEIDEN COLLECTION

Christian Tümpel assigned the painting to Rembrandt's workshop,^[6] citing supporting negative judgments by Egbert Haverkamp-Begemann, Seymour Slive, and Peter C. Sutton, who knew the painting well because it had been on loan to the Museum of Fine Arts while he had served as the curator of Dutch paintings. In 1989 the Rembrandt Research Project, led by Josua Bruyn, similarly concluded that the painting was likely executed by an unidentified member of Rembrandt's workshop.^[7] In a letter to the current owner, dated 19 May 2010, Ernst van de Wetering questioned whether the painting actually originated in Rembrandt's workshop, and postulated that it might be a later copy after a lost prototype by the master.^[8] These negative judgments, however, stand in stark contrast to the opinions of other Rembrandt scholars. In 1992 Leonard Slatkes published the painting as by Rembrandt, with possible contribution of an assistant in the costume.^[9] Walter Liedtke and Christopher Brown both attributed the work to Rembrandt when they exhibited it in their respective museums: Liedtke at the Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York, in 2008, and Brown at the Ashmolean Museum, Oxford, in 2010. The painting was also attributed to Rembrandt in an exhibition at the Wadsworth Atheneum Museum of Art in Hartford in 2009-10, and again in 2011-12, when it was included in the exhibition Rembrandt in America: Collecting and Connoisseurship.^[10]

The attribution issues related to this painting reflect many of the uncertainties surrounding the portraits produced in the Rembrandt workshop in the 1630s, about which there have been many disagreements in recent years. The issues are multiple and reflect the fact that, despite extensive research by Van de Wetering and others, there are many gaps in our knowledge of how Rembrandt's studio functioned in Amsterdam in the early to mid-1630s, an issue intimately connected to questions about the character of the workshop of Hendrick Uylenburgh (1584/89–ca. 1660).^[11] Rembrandt, who entered into a business partnership with Uylenburgh in 1631 when he was still in Leiden, soon moved into Uylenburgh's Amsterdam home and ran the workshop, which was called an "academy" in its day. Rembrandt remained with Uylenburgh until 1635, when he and Saskia (Uylenburgh's niece, who Rembrandt married in 1634) moved to a different residence. By then Rembrandt had joined the Saint Luke's Guild and had begun working as an independent master.

During the early to mid-1630s, artists who had previously been trained elsewhere, including Jacob Backer (1608/9–51) and Govaert Flinck (1615–60) came to work in Uylenburgh's "academy" under Rembrandt's guidance. Exactly when these artists entered the workshop is not certain, but it was probably between 1633 and 1635. They presumably came to learn Rembrandt's style of painting, but it seems that they, and others in the workshop, also collaborated in producing paintings, particularly portraits, which was a common practice in the Dutch and Flemish portrait



Fig 4. X-radiograph of RR-103

Page 5 of 16



THE LEIDEN COLLECTION

tradition.^[12] The nature of such collaborations in the workshop was probably quite varied. Some of the variables included the personal or professional relationships between sitter, Rembrandt, and Uylenburgh; the specific demands or expectations of the patron; the scale of the painting; and whether it included hands or other attributes. These considerations were important when projecting the time allotted for producing the portrait, and hence the cost. In some instances Rembrandt painted the entire portrait, but occasionally he executed just the sitter's head and relegated the costume and hands to assistants. At other times he may have blocked in the sitter's form and then had assistants execute the work in his manner before putting in finishing touches.^[13] With so many uncertainties in our knowledge of how the workshop functioned, judgments of attribution have to be cautiously approached.

The most extensive critique of the Rembrandt attribution for this portrait of Coopal was published by the Rembrandt Research Project in 1989.^[14] In its assessment of the painting, the team pointed to the thick application of paint, long and straight brushstrokes modeling the face (versus the "shorter more flexible strokes" it characterized as typical of Rembrandt), the simple and strong ("spiky") contrasts of the figure with its surroundings, the lack of an atmospheric character to the background, the selective bravura in brush handling, and the superficial modeling of the lace collar. It also did not accept the signature and date as authentic.^[15]

The Rembrandt Research Project's primary point of comparison was with Rembrandt's *Portrait of Philips Lucasz.* in the National Gallery, London, a work also signed and dated 1635 (**fig 2**).^[16] The team's close comparison of these two portraits is entirely apt, for the two paintings do share many characteristics in composition, pose and scale. Indeed, Christopher Brown, who had lived with the Lucasz painting for more than two decades as chief curator at the National Gallery in London, felt that the paintings were so similar that one seemed to have been painted right after the other. Because of these correspondences, the portrait of Antoine Coopal was brought to London in June 2015 so that scholars could examine the two paintings side by side in the conservation laboratory of the National Gallery.

The juxtaposition of these two portraits was very revealing, and confirmed that the paintings were comparable in scale and character. Differences, however, were also evident that had not previously been noted. For example, it became immediately apparent that the portrait of Antonie Coopal is more brightly lit than that of Philips Lucasz. One also became conscious of the differences in the physical appearance of the sitters. In 1635, when they were both portrayed, Philips Lucasz. was some years older than Antoine Coopal.^[17] He was also a rugged man who had lived in India and spent much time at sea, which may account for his apparent physical strength and stolid demeanor in this portrait. The Coopal portrait presents a softer and flashier

Page 6 of 16



THE LEIDEN COLLECTION

presence enhanced by the sitter's long, curly locks and the dynamic rhythms of his wide-brimmed black hat. He looks as though he were someone who had yet to witness the world in a way that had Philips Lucasz. The large gold chain that Lucasz sports beneath his white lace collar, which is probably related to administrative positions he held in the Indies, adds to a sense of his gravitas, a characteristic lacking in the portrait of the younger, more elegant Coopal.

The physical differences between the sitters help account for many of the criticisms of the Coopal portrait noted by the Rembrandt Research Project. Rembrandt must have been impressed by Philips Lucasz's strong character, which he expressed through the dense interworking of brushstrokes he used to model his form. Although both paintings are executed wet-into-wet, the X-radiograph of the Lucasz portrait reveals the richness of Rembrandt's brushwork (fig 3). The X-radiograph of the Coopal portrait (fig 4), on the other hand, confirms that his face was modeled more quickly and with fewer brushstrokes, perhaps because Rembrandt wanted to convey the youthful flair of this younger sitter. One must also wonder whether the financial arrangements for portraying a family member were the same as for a commissioned portrait, and whether the time allotted for creating a portrait differed from one to the other.

Assessing the process by which Rembrandt created portraits when he was head of the Uylenburgh workshop in the early to mid-1630s is a complex matter, but the juxtaposition of these works furthered our understanding of how the Coopal portrait was painted.^[18] To begin with, the similarities of these two portraits made it clear that Rembrandt conceived and blocked in both compositions. Coopal's pose, as he looks out at the viewer over one shoulder, and the position of his hands, is entirely consistent with the work of the master. It also became evident that Rembrandt executed the painting with workshop assistance, most obviously in painting the lace collar. The collar is not particularly well painted and it has a superficial character that neither indicates the complexity of the lace patterns nor enhances the threedimensional presence of the sitter. This finding is quite significant for the question of attribution. When the Rembrandt Research Project rejected the attribution of the portrait of Coopal to Rembrandt, it assumed that only one artist painted this work. On the other hand, in arguing for the correctness of the Rembrandt attribution of the Philips Lucasz portrait, where the collar has similar weaknesses, it argued that it was executed by one of Rembrandt's assistants. The same logic should apply to both works.

A particularly unsuccessful area of the collar is the arched shadow, which does not seem to have any structural connection to the underlying shape of the arm and shoulder. Interestingly, this problem is not nearly as evident in the X-radiograph of

Page 7 of 16



THE LEIDEN COLLECTION

the painting, where the shape of the collar is somewhat different and the arched shadowed area defining the shoulder is larger (see (**fig 4**)). The three-dimensional logic and nuance in the shading of this quickly blocked-in form is further indication that this layer was painted by Rembrandt and that an assistant executed the final design. Coopal's thinly painted hand and cuff apparently belongs to this same initial phase in the painting process, for they convincingly demonstrate Rembrandt's touch.

Despite these areas of agreement, the authors of this entry differ in their interpretations of the modeling of Coopal's face. Arthur Wheelock has concluded that it was painted entirely by Rembrandt. He sees no distinction in the face in the brushwork of the blocking-in stage and the final layer as is evident in the collar. He also believes that the brushstrokes used to define Antoine Coopal's features-the eyes, nostril, mouth and moustache-are remarkably similar to those of Philips Lucasz even though they are more rapidly executed in the latter portrait. For example, in both paintings, the lower edge of the left eyelid is defined by a pink stroke and has a light accent at the lower left. Similar ocher strokes define the upper edge of the left nostril of both men, and in each instance bold brushstrokes of flesh-colored paint have been pulled down over the upper edge of the moustache. Wheelock considers it difficult to imagine two different artists painting in such identical manners, even if they were teacher and assistant. David De Witt, on the other hand, considers the broad and regular character of the brushwork in the face more characteristic of Govaert Flinck's handling than that of the master, and believes that Rembrandt enlisted Flinck to complete this area of the painting, as well as the hair and hat. He is also struck by the pronounced ridge along the nose that separates the lit side of the face from the shaded side, which he argues is characteristic of Flinck's manner of modeling faces in the latter part of the 1630s.^[19]

The similarities and differences in the appearance and handling of these two works go to the heart of the many disputes surrounding the attribution of works from this period of Rembrandt's career. In this instance some of the dissimilarities may be accounted for by the age and personalities of the sitters, and some may be due to distinctions in the collaborative character of the workshop in executing these portraits. One must also consider the human dimension in artist-patron relationships: how likely is it, for example, that Rembrandt would assign a portrait of his relative to anyone other than himself. As has been stressed in this entry, there are many unknowns in dealing with such complicated issues as collaboration in the Rembrandt workshop, and one must be mindful of the limits of our knowledge. Recognizing these uncertainties, and given the preponderance of stylistic connections to Rembrandt's work from the mid-1630s, the authors of this entry agree that the attribution of this compelling portrait should be Rembrandt and Workshop.

Portrait of Antonie Coopal

Page 8 of 16



- David de Witt, Arthur K. Wheelock Jr., 2017



Endnotes

- Stichting Foundation Rembrandt Research Project, A Corpus of Rembrandt Paintings, vol. 3, 1635–1642, ed. Josua Bruyn et al. (Dordrecht, Boston, and London, 1989), 683. François married Titia in 1628. For the complex family relationships between Rembrandt and Saskia's family, see: Jaap van der Veen, "Hendrick Uylenburgh's art business. Production and trade between 1625 and 1655," in Friso Lammertse and Jaap van der Veen, Uylenburgh & Son, Art and Commerce from Rembrandt to De Lairesse1625-1675 (Exh. cat. London, Dulwich Picture Gallery; Amsterdam, Rembrandt House Museum) (Zwolle, 2006), 137–42.
- 2. On Coopal see Willem Iman Cornelis Rammelman Elsevier, "Prins Frederik Hendrik en het kasteel van Antwerpen," *Kronijk van het Historisch Gezelschap te Utrecht* 5 (1849): 111–13.
- 3. The wood used for the panel was found to be a 'sucupira' species of Diplotropis, a tropical hardwood that ranges from Columbia, Venezuela, Brazil, and Peru. See Regis B. Miller, "Report on the wood for the Rembrandt panel painting entitled "Portrait of Anthonie Coopal," dated June 2012, on file, the Leiden Collection. The use of such a panel is quite unusual, as Rembrandt generally painted on oak. Perhaps the wood came from a packing crate connected to a shipment from the Americas. For a broader discussion of Rembrandt's use of panels, see: Ernst van de Wetering, *Rembrandt: The Painter at Work* (Berkeley, 2000), 16–17.
- 4. See Provenance.
- 5. Abraham Bredius, *Rembrandt: The Complete Edition of the Paintings*, ed. Horst Gerson (London, 1969), no. 203, 165.
- 6. Christian Tümpel, *Rembrandt mythos und methode* (Antwerp, 1986), 429, no. A89, 104 (as by Rembrandt workshop).
- Stichting Foundation Rembrandt Research Project, A Corpus of Rembrandt Paintings, vol. 3, 1635–1642, ed. Josua Bruyn et al. (Dordrecht, Boston, and London, 1989), 679–84, no. C. 108 (as uncharacteristic of Rembrandt).
- 8. Email correspondence from Ernst van de Wetering, head of the Rembrandt Research Project, to the owner, 19 May 2010 (Curatorial files, the Leiden Collection). Van de Wetering points to a number of anomalies in the painting technique in making his judgment. He sees no evidence that the figure overlaps the laying-in of the background, as is characteristic of Rembrandt portraits. He also notes that the entire painting is executed "in almost one go, without the slightest hesitation... [and that] the entire surface of the painting seems to have been painted wet-in-wet. I am not aware of ever having seen this way of working in portraits by Rembrandt." Van de Wetering is also critical of the rendering of the catch lights in the eyes and the modelling of the nose. He notes that "the lit wing of the nose has no shaded 'bottom'. Rembrandt's portraits always have. As a rule with Rembrandt, it is reddish..." He also critiques the handling of the hair and collar. Finally, he does not consider the signature to be authentic,



and believes that it must be copied from a hypothetical prototype.

- 9. Leonard Slatkes, Rembrandt: Catalogo completo dei dipinti (Florence, 1992), 251, no. 158.
- 10. See Exhibitions.
- See, among his many excellent studies of Rembrandt: Ernst van de Wetering, *Rembrandt: The Painter at Work* (Berkeley, 2000). For the Uylenburgh workshop, see: Friso Lammertse and Jaap van der Veen, Uylenburgh & Son, Art and Commerce from Rembrandt to De Lairesse 1625–1675 (Exh. cat. London, Dulwich Picture Gallery; Amsterdam, Rembrandt House Museum) (Zwolle, 2006).
- 12. The Uylenburgh workshop specialized in portraits, although it also restored paintings and produced copies as well. See: Jaap van der Veen, "Hendrick Uylenburgh's art business. Production and trade between 1625 and 1655," in Friso Lammertse and Jaap van der Veen, Uylenburgh & Son, Art and Commerce from Rembrandt to De Lairesse 1625–1675 (Exh. cat. London, Dulwich Picture Gallery; Amsterdam, Rembrandt House Museum) (Zwolle, 2006), 117–205.
- For a discussion of such issues, see Arthur K. Wheelock Jr., "Issues of Attribution in the Rembrandt Workshop," in *Dutch Paintings of the Seventeenth Century*, NGA Online Editions (Washington D.C., 2014).
- Stichting Foundation Rembrandt Research Project, A Corpus of Rembrandt Paintings, vol. 3, 1635–1642, ed. Josua Bruyn et al. (Dordrecht, Boston, and London, 1989), 679–84, no. C. 108 (as uncharacteristic of Rembrandt).
- 15. The Rembrandt Research Project considers the inscription as not autograph because it "lacks any homogeneity." See: Stichting Foundation Rembrandt Research Project, A Corpus of Rembrandt Paintings, vol. 3, 1635–1642, ed. Josua Bruyn et al. (Dordrecht, Boston, and London, 1989), 679. The signature and date, however, are integral to the paint surface. For an assessment on how the abnormality of the panel surface may have affected the appearance of the signature, see: John Twilley, "Scientific Testing of Rembrandt's Portrait of Anthonie Cooal, 1635 Interim Report Part 1—Observations on Condition and Technique, and Part 2—Analytical Studies," on file, the Leiden Collection.
- 16. Stichting Foundation Rembrandt Research Project, A Corpus of Rembrandt Paintings, vol. 3, 1635–1642, ed. Josua Bruyn et al. (Dordrecht, Boston, and London, 1989), 175–82, no. A. 115. This comparison is particularly pertinent since the formats of the two paintings were originally identical. The portrait of Philip Lucasz was originally rectangular in shape before being changed into an oval format. Presumably when this change was made the sitter's left hand was overpainted.
- 17. The exact birthdates of neither sitter are known, but Philip Lucasz was born in the late 16th century and Antoine Coopal around 1606.
- 18. Given the range of Rembrandt's painting techniques, one must always remain conscious of the fact that variations in handling and approach will be inevitably be found in any comparison of only two of his works.
- 19. He cites as a comparative example, Flinck's *Portrait of a 44 Year-Old Man*, 1637, in the Mauritshuis (See: Ben Broos and Ariane van Suchtelen, *Portraits in the Mauritshuis 1430–1790* (Zwolle, 2004),



89–91, no. 17). In an earlier draft of this entry, written in April 2015, he wrote: "Comparable in these two works is the way the figures are set against contrasting backgrounds, as well as the juxtaposition of dark eyes against pale skin tones. Flinck's brushwork tended toward even regularity, such as seen in the long linear strokes in the face and costume (to the lower right of the Coopal portrait for instance) and in the undifferentiated curls of the hair. Along the ridge of the nose in both paintings, where the surface slopes away from light into shadow, a thick undulating line of dark color dramatizes this transition."

Provenance

- Probably Choiseul-Praslin Collection (Choiseul-Praslin Sale, Paris, 18 February 1793, no. 35 [to De Praslin].
- Collection of Michael Bryan, London (his sale, George Squibb, London, 20 June 1821, no. 52).
- Probably E. W. Lake Collection, London, 1845 and 1848 [Thomas Lawrie & Co., London, 1898].
- Collection of Baron Nathaniel de Rothschild (1836–1905), Vienna, 1898; by descent to his nephew Baron Alphonse de Rothschild (1878?–1942), Vienna; seized by Nazi Forces in 1938 and taken to the Führerbau, Munich, by 1943 (no. 3116); collected by the Allies and taken to the Munich Central Collecting Point (no. 1661/1); released to the United States Forces in Austria (K1106); returned to the Rothschild family on 25 April 1946; [Frederick Mont, New York].
- Baron and Baroness Charles and Edith Neuman de Vègvàr, Greenwich, 1947–62; by descent until 2007, as by Flinck and Rembrandt [Otto Naumann, Ltd., New York, 2007].
- From whom acquired by the present owner in 2007.

Exhibition History

- Boston, Museum of Fine Arts, 9 July 1984–8 January 2007, on loan with the permanent collection, [lent by Neuman de Vègvàr].
- London, Dulwich Picture Gallery, "Rembrandt & Co.: Dealing in Masterpieces," 7 June–3 September 2006, and Amsterdam, Rembrandthuis, "Rembrandt en Uylenburgh, handel in Amsterdam," 16 September–10 December 2006 [lent by Neuman de Vègvàr].
- New York, Metropolitan Museum of Art, 7 November 2008–20 May 2009, on loan with the permanent collection [lent by the present owner].
- Hartford, Wadsworth Atheneum Museum of Art, "Rembrandt's People," 10 October 2009–24 January 2010, no. 2 [lent by the present owner].
- Amsterdam, Museum Het Rembrandthuis, April 12-June 2010, on loan with the permanent collection



[lent by the present owner].

- Oxford, Ashmolean Museum, June 2010–June 2011, on loan with the permanent collection [lent by the present owner].
- Raleigh, North Carolina Museum of Art, "Rembrandt in America: Collecting and Connoisseurship," 30 October 2011–22 January 2012; Cleveland, Cleveland Museum of Art, 19 February–28 May 2012; Minneapolis, Minneapolis Institute of Arts, 24 June–16 September 2012, no. 26 [lent by the present owner].
- New York, Brooklyn Museum, on loan with the permanent collection, March 2013–June 2015, [lent by the present owner].
- Oxford, Ashmolean Museum, on loan with the permanent collection, 7 July 2015–August 2016 [lent by the present owner].
- Paris, Museé du Louvre, "Masterpieces of The Leiden Collection: The Age of Rembrandt," 22 February-22 May 2017 [lent by the present owner].
- Beijing, National Museum of China, "Rembrandt and His Time: Masterpieces from The Leiden Collection," 17 June–3 September 2017 [lent by the present owner].
- Shanghai, Long Museum, West Bund, "Rembrandt, Vermeer and Hals in the Dutch Golden Age: Masterpieces from The Leiden Collection," 23 September 2017–25 February 2018 [lent by the present owner].
- Moscow, The Pushkin State Museum of Fine Arts, "The Age of Rembrandt and Vermeer: Masterpieces of The Leiden Collection," 28 March 2018–22 July 2018 [lent by the present owner].
- St. Petersburg, The State Hermitage Museum, "The Age of Rembrandt and Vermeer: Masterpieces of The Leiden Collection," 5 September 2018–13 January 2019 [lent by the present owner].
- Abu Dhabi, Louvre Abu Dhabi, "Rembrandt, Vermeer and the Dutch Golden Age. Masterpieces from The Leiden Collection and the Musée du Louvre," 14 February–18 May 2019 [lent by the present owner].

References

- Von Bode, Wilhelm, and Cornelis Hofstede de Groot. Rembrandt: beschreibendes Verzeichniss seiner Gemälde mit den heliographischen Nachbildungen: Geschichte seines Lebens und seiner Kunst. 8 vols. Paris, 1897–1906, 3:114, no. 185.
- Rosenberg, Adolf. *Rembrandt: Des Meisters Gemälde*. Edited by Wilhelm R. Valentiner, 211, 555. Klassiker der Kunst in Gesamtausgaben. 3rd edition. Stuttgart and Berlin 1908.
- Hofstede de Groot, Cornelis. A Catalogue Raisonné of the Works of the Most Eminent Dutch Painters of the Seventeenth Century Based on the Work of John Smith. Edited and translated by Edward G. Hawke, 6: 305, no. 634. 8 vols. London, 1907–28. Originally published as Beschreibendes und kritisches



Verzeichnis der Werke der hervorragendsten höllandischen Maler des XVII. Jahrhunderts. 10 vols. Esslingen and Paris, 1907–28.

- Benesch, Otto. Rembrandt: Werk und Forschung. Vienna, 1935, 20.
- Bredius, Abraham. Rembrandt: Gemälde. Vienna, 1935, no. 203.
- Bredius, Abraham. The Paintings of Rembrandt. London, 1937, 12, no. 203.
- Roger-Marx, Claude. Rembrandt. New York, 1960, 168, no. 49.
- Bauch, Kurt. Rembrandt: Gemälde. Berlin, 1966, 20, no. 377.
- White, Christopher. Rembrandt and His World. London, 1966, 43-44, 135, no. 43.
- Gerson, Horst. Rembrandt: Paintings. Amsterdam, 1968, 296-97, 495, no. 183.
- Bredius, Abraham. *Rembrandt: The Complete Edition of the Paintings*. Edited by Horst Gerson, 165, 564–65, no. 203. London, 1966.
- Lecaldano, Paolo, and Giovanni Arpino. *L'opera pittorica complete di Rembrandt*. Milan, 1969, 104, no. 172.
- Lecaldano, Paolo, and Gregory Martin. *The Complete Paintings of Rembrandt*. London, 1969, 98, no. 87, no. 172.
- Bolten. Jaap, and Henriette Bolten-Rempt. The Hidden Rembrandt. Oxford, 1978, 183, no. 217.
- Schwartz, Gary. Rembrandt, His Life, His Paintings: A New Biography with All Accessible Paintings Illustrated in Colour. New York, 1985. Originally published as Rembrandt, zijn leven, zijne schilderijen: Ein nieuwe biografie, met all beschikbare schilderijen in kleur afgebeeld. Maarsen, 1984, 147–48, fig. 130.
- Tümpel, Christian. *Rembrandt mythos und methode*. Antwerp, 1986, 104, 429, no. A89 (as by Rembrandt workshop).
- Slatkes, Leonard. Rembrandt: Catalogo completo dei dipinti. Florence, 1992, 251, no. 158.
- Bruyn, Josua, et al. *A Corpus of Rembrandt Paintings*. Vol. 3: *1635–1642*. Stichting Foundation Rembrandt Research Project. The Hague, 1989, 178-80, 681–84, no. C 108 (as uncharacteristic of Rembrandt).
- Tümpel, Christian. *Rembrandt: All Paintings in Colour.* Antwerp, 1993, 104, 432, no. A89 (as by Rembrandt workshop).
- Liedtke, Walter. "Rembrandt's 'Workshop' Revisited." Oud-Holland 117, nos. 1–2 (2004): 67.
- Schwarz, Birgit. *Hitlers Museum, Die Fotoalben "Gemäldegalerie Linz": Dokumenta zum Führermuseum.* Vienna, 2004, 103, no. II/38.
- Broos, Ben. "Rembrandt's zeeuwse connective: François Coopal en Titia Uylenburgh." Kroniek van het Rembrandthuis 1–2 (2005): 32–33.
- Schwartz, Gary. The Rembrandt Book. New York, 2006, 50 n. 2.
- Van der Veen, Jaap. "Hendrick Uylenburgh's Art Business, Production, and Trade Between 1625 and 1655." In Uylenburgh & Son: Art and Commerce from Rembrandt to De Lairesse, 1625–1675. Exh. cat.



London, Dulwich Picture Gallery; Amsterdam Museum Het Rembrandthuis. Zwolle, 2006, 141–43, fig. 86.

- Zafran, Eric. *Rembrandt's People*. Exh. cat. Hartford, Wadsworth Atheneum Museum of Art. Hartford, 2010, 8–9, no. 2 (as by Rembrandt).
- Weller, Dennis P. "Rembrandt Paintings in America." In *Rembrandt in America: Collecting and Connoisseurship.* Edited by George S. Keyes, Tom Rassieur, and Dennis P. Weller, 25, fig. 2, 27. Exh. cat. Raleigh, North Carolina Museum of Art; Cleveland, Cleveland Museum of Art; Minneapolis, Minneapolis Institute of Arts. New York, 2011 (as by Rembrandt).
- Weller, Dennis P. "Portrait of Antonie Coopal." In *Rembrandt in America: Collecting and Connoisseurship*. Edited by George S. Keyes, Tom Rassieur, and Dennis P. Weller, 12, 184, no. 20. Exh. cat. Raleigh, North Carolina Museum of Art; Cleveland, Cleveland Museum of Art; Minneapolis, Minneapolis Institute of Arts. New York, 2011 (as by Rembrandt).
- Liedtke, Walter. "Rembrandt in America: Raleigh, Cleveland, and Minneapolis." *Burlington Magazine* 154, no. 1307 (February 2012): 150, fig. 79.
- Surh, Dominique. "Portrait of Antonie Coopal." In *Masterpieces of The Leiden Collection: The Age of Rembrandt*. Edited by Blaise Ducos and Dominique Surh, 84, no. 32. Exh. cat. Paris, Musée du Louvre. Paris, 2017.
- Yeager-Crasselt, Lara. "Portrait Antonie Coopal." In *Rembrandt and His Time: Masterpieces from The Leiden Collection*. Edited by Lara Yeager-Crasselt, 44–5; 174, no. 12. Translated by Li Ying. Exh. cat. Beijing, National Museum of China. Beijing, 2017.
- Long Museum, West Bund. *Rembrandt, Vermeer and Hals in the Dutch Golden Age: Masterpieces from The Leiden Collection.* Exh. cat. Shanghai, Long Museum, West Bund. Shanghai, 2017, 66–67.
- DeWitt, David. "Govert Flinck Learns to Paint Like Rembrandt." In *Ferdinand Bol and Govert Flinck Rembrandt's Master Pupils*. Edited by Norbert Middelkoop and David DeWitt, 30, fig. no. 22. Exh. cat. Amsterdam, Amsterdam Museum; Amsterdam, Museum Het Rembrandthuis. Zwolle, 2017 (as by Rembrandt and Flinck).
- Yeager-Crasselt, Lara. "The Leiden Collection and the Dutch Golden Age." In *The Age of Rembrandt* and Vermeer: Masterpieces of The Leiden Collection. Edited by Polina Lyubimova, 18, 21; 29, 32. Translated by Daria Babich and Daria Kuzina. Exh. cat. Moscow, The Pushkin State Museum of Fine Arts; St. Petersburg, The State Hermitage Museum. Moscow, 2018.
- Yeager-Crasselt, Lara "Portrait of Antonie Coopal." In *The Age of Rembrandt and Vermeer: Masterpieces of The Leiden Collection*. Edited by Polina Lyubimova, 184–85; 244, no. 59. Translated by Daria Babich and Daria Kuzina. Exh. cat. Moscow, The Pushkin State Museum of Fine Arts; St. Petersburg, The State Hermitage Museum. Moscow, 2018.
- Yeager-Crasselt, Lara. "The Leiden Collection and the Dutch Golden Age." In *Rembrandt, Vermeer and the Dutch Golden Age. Masterpieces from The Leiden Collection and the Musée du Louvre*. Edited by Blaise Ducos and Lara Yeager-Crasselt, 29. Exh. cat. Abu Dhabi, Louvre Abu Dhabi. London, 2019.



[Exhibition catalogue also published in French and Arabic.]

- Blanc, Jan. "Being Modern, Being Dutch: The Seventeenth-Century Invention of the Golden Age." In *Rembrandt, Vermeer and the Dutch Golden Age. Masterpieces from The Leiden Collection and the Musée du Louvre*. Edited by Blaise Ducos and Lara Yeager-Crasselt, 42. Exh. cat. Abu Dhabi, Louvre Abu Dhabi. London, 2019. [Exhibition catalogue also published in French and Arabic.]
- Ducos, Blaise, and Lara Yeager-Crasselt, eds. *Rembrandt, Vermeer and the Dutch Golden Age. Masterpieces from The Leiden Collection and the Musée du Louvre*. London, 2019, 54, 84–5, no. 21. Exh. cat. Abu Dhabi, Louvre Abu Dhabi. [Exhibition catalogue also published in French and Arabic.]
- Middelkoop, Norbert, ed. *Rembrandt and Amsterdam Portraiture, 1590–1670.* Exh. cat. Madrid, Museo Nacional Thyssen-Bornemisza. Madrid, 2020, 141, fig. 80.
- Dudok van Heel, S.A.C. "Rembrandt als portretschilder bij Hendrick Uylenburgh, 1631–1635, met opdrachten in Den Haag, Leiden en Rotterdam," *Amstelodamum* 107-2 (2020), 82–83, fig. 35.
- Rorimer, James R. Monuments Man: The Mission to Save Vermeers, Rembrandts, Da Vincis, and More from the Nazis' Grasp. New York, 2022, 203, ill.
- Vogelaar, Christiaan. "The Leiden Collection." In *Rembrandt and his Contemporaries: History Paintings from The Leiden Collection*. Edited by Arthur K. Wheelock Jr. and Caroline Van Cauwenberge, 10. Exh. cat. Amsterdam, Hermitage Amsterdam. Zwolle, 2023. [Exhibition catalogue also published in Dutch.]

Technical Summary

The support, a single plank of vertically grained, rectangular-shaped Brazilian chestnut (sucupira), has bevels on all four sides and pronounced vertical grain along the right third. The panel is unthinned and uncradled. Clipped metal brads along all four outer edges suggest shims have been removed. Four paper labels and four inscriptions are located along the panel reverse, but there are no wax seals, stencils or import stamps.. A clearwhite wax coating, applied to the entire reverse, covers the labels and inscriptions and extends onto the panel edges.

A light warm-colored ground has been thinly and evenly applied and remains visible through the thinly applied paint along the upper right quadrant. The paint has been thinly applied with visible brushwork throughout and there are areas of low impasto along the white highlights of the figure's wide lace collar. Both the paint and the ground spill over onto the thickness of the panel edges.

No underdrawing or compositional changes are readily apparent in infrared images captured at 780–1000 nanometers.

The painting is signed and dated in dark paint along the background in the lower right.

The painting was cleaned and restored in 2009 and remains in a good state of preservation. John Twilley, independent conservation scientist, arranged for radiocarbon dating of the panel. The results are still pending.

Further technical information about this artwork is available in The Rembrandt Database.



Technical Summary Endnotes

1. A label on the reverse reads: "De Heer Antoni Coopal / MarckGraaf van Antwerpen/ Gewesene Ambassaduer aen / 't hof van Polen & Engelant / Raett pensionaris van Flissinge / in Zeeland &." Judging from the script, the handwriting suggests a late seventeenth-century to early eighteenth-century origin. A second label in French translates the Dutch label, and may date from the mid-to-late eighteenth century, when the RR-103 provenance is recorded in France: "Antoni Coopal. / [...] neur marquis D'anvers / [Amba]ssadeur dans les Cours / [de Po]logne et D'angletere, /[consei]ller et pensionaire de / [Fles]sing en Zeelande." A third label, at the center top, and probably more or less contemporary with the earlier Dutch label, reads: "35 g[u]l[den] 11 st[uivers]" and may reflect a price once given to the painting.